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After reading Alex's article “The End of Humanity: Rise of the Robots” in the October issue, I think I have an idea on a truly effective method of preventing the use of robots against the American people. Simply emailing and calling our representatives in D.C. will be a waste of time (as proof, see the failure of H.R. 5925/S. 3287, the “Preserving Freedom From Unwarranted Surveillance Act of 2012”). A bill needs to be drafted that creates an absolute ban on the use of robots and drones on U.S. soil. This bill could be written up by the ACLU, the EFF, The Rutherford Institute or even a lobbying firm that still has not completely sold its soul yet. The bill could be introduced in the House by one of our friends such as Representative Walter Jones, with a simultaneous introduction in the Senate by Senator Rand Paul. In the meantime, an aggressive grassroots campaign could be launched, which would unite freedom lovers of all stripes, and which would be pretty effective even without any major media coverage (much like the opposi-
sion among this global civilization is up to the individual.

Every good act no matter how minute counts while every
bad act of evil is also accounted for and men shall reap what
they sow. God help us all to allow humanity to naturally
improve and have good increase in spirit. It is a blessing
to have so many humans and the diversity on this Earth
which is capable of sustaining us all. True wisdom shows
it’s only sensible to invest in people for we create and
drive actual development. The future is in all of our hands
while the destiny of Earth’s is in God we trust. Humanity
best remain diligent for someday Earth will be overrun
by evil and destroyed. But never fear because as the Bible
clearly states this world will pass and humanity will one
day be given a new earth elsewhere that righteousness
reigns supreme. Forever blessed is the good man.

Logan Patrik Madaras

Congratulations on expanding the InfoWars into the
print genre. The first edition was a tour de force and the
second issue could not be more timely. It focuses primarily
on the rapid advancements in the roll-out of electronic
surveillance systems in the digital age. It is by one
measure unfortunate that such crude tools as shackles
and detention facilities (held in reserve as a back-up, of
course) have been replaced with much more elegant and
soothing control mechanisms in addition to the soft-
kill/disability weapons technologies of the military/
industrial technocracy. The world, in essence, becomes
an open-air gulag in which people are allowed to move
“freely” within a matrix which imprisons them even more
securely than bars and chains. This current enslavement
may be less brutal in some respects but I would argue
that it is far more insidious and dehumanizing; the former
methods restricted the body while the newer approaches
succeed in capturing and incarcerating the mind and will
as well. It is possible to retain ones dignity in prison and
even improve oneself there but, as someone famous once
said, there are “none so enslaved as those who falsely
believe that they are free.” Give them bread and circuses,
medicate them overtly, poison their cognitive faculties
covertly, give them small sips of liberty, and their coop-
eration with tyranny will eventually be assured. We have
lots of work to do, fellow patriots. Let us be about it.

Tyler Feeaney

If humans can’t work/be at peace together without the
constant force of powerful “systems” that corrupt then
we are bound to be doomed. The true good cooperation
among this global civilization is up to the individual.

Every good act no matter how minute counts while every
act of evil is also accounted for and men shall reap what
they sow. God help us all to allow humanity to naturally
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to have so many humans and the diversity on this Earth
which is capable of sustaining us all. True wisdom shows
it’s only sensible to invest in people for we create and
drive actual development. The future is in all of our hands
while the destiny of Earth’s is in God we trust. Humanity
best remain diligent for someday Earth will be overrun
by evil and destroyed. But never fear because as the Bible
clearly states this world will pass and humanity will one
day be given a new earth elsewhere that righteousness
reigns supreme. Forever blessed is the good man.
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Congratulations on expanding the InfoWars into the
print genre. The first edition was a tour de force and the
second issue could not be more timely. It focuses primarily
on the rapid advancements in the roll-out of electronic
surveillance systems in the digital age. It is by one
measure unfortunate that such crude tools as shackles
and detention facilities (held in reserve as a back-up, of
course) have been replaced with much more elegant and
soothing control mechanisms in addition to the soft-
kill/disability weapons technologies of the military/
industrial technocracy. The world, in essence, becomes
an open-air gulag in which people are allowed to move
“freely” within a matrix which imprisons them even more
securely than bars and chains. This current enslavement
may be less brutal in some respects but I would argue
that it is far more insidious and dehumanizing; the former
methods restricted the body while the newer approaches
succeed in capturing and incarcerating the mind and will
as well. It is possible to retain ones dignity in prison and
even improve oneself there but, as someone famous once
said, there are “none so enslaved as those who falsely
believe that they are free.” Give them bread and circuses,
medicate them overtly, poison their cognitive faculties
covertly, give them small sips of liberty, and their coop-
eration with tyranny will eventually be assured. We have
lots of work to do, fellow patriots. Let us be about it.

Phil Welsh

I would like to share with you a letter I wrote to a
friend with whom I’ve been having a debate. He says
he’s going to vote for Mitt Romney because he’s “not as
bad” as Obama: I’m afraid I still can’t agree with you on
this. What makes you think that Romney would be any
less quick to push through the NWO agenda? And as far
as the Supreme Court Justices go, the puppet president
“chooses” whomever the NWO wants him to choose.
These NWO people are on a schedule. They have put their
puppets on a schedule and each puppet, be it Obama for
a 2nd term or Romney, is assigned what to do and WHEN
to do it. The goal of the NWO crowd is to destroy this
country and turn it into a 3rd world banana republic so
that it will blend in with the rest of the world under a one
world government. So, by voting for “the lesser of two
evils” or whatever, all you’re doing is going along with
their plan to destroy this country.

I do agree with you on one thing. The NWO is NOT
invincible. But they are very powerful and VERY deter-
mined and they want everyone else who’s not one of
them to be either their slaves or dead (i.e. Georgia Guide-
stones). The only thing that’s really going to stop them
is if we somehow communicate to them that we know
what they’re up to and that we aren’t going to put up with
it! The only way I can think of to do this is if somehow
people who really care can be mobilized to not vote for
ANY of these people.

To stay home on election day is not viable because it
can be spun that people are just too lazy and don’t care
effort to get off their butts to vote. Holding ones nose
to vote for the “lesser of two evils” isn’t acceptable either
as it signals that you’re going along with the NWO plan
to destroy this country. Write in votes, such as “none of
the above” would probably be counted as a vote for either
Romney or Obama with the computerized vote fraud
that’s going on these days.

No, the only thing that might get their attention and let
them know that we’re on to them is if we actually go to
the polling places, get our names crossed off the list has
having come to vote and then turn in a BLANK ballot, or
perhaps maybe vote for a few of the local and state issues
that we’re particularly interested in. The main thing is
to NOT vote for President, Vice President or any other
Federal offices! This way the political pundits couldn’t say
that the voters were too lazy to vote. They would have to
concede that this was a mass protest movement.

Ruth Ann Baird
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**FILTER PRIMING REQUIRED**

- Plastic
- All Metal

**CAPACITY**

- Propur BIG: 2.1 gallons
- Competitor: 2.64 gallons
- Propur KING: 4 gallons
- Propur TRAVELER: 1.5 gallons
- 4.5 gallons

**ARSENIC REDUCTION**

- Yes
- No

**INFOWARES SHOP.COM**

We are the biggest distributor of Propur water systems

**PROMO CODE**

- WATER
- 10% off

**PRO Black+™**

- Pro Black-O™
- Pro Black-BR™

---

**Know What You Drink**

- Propur™ Water Purification Systems are made from ONE piece of stainless steel so there are no seams and welds to crack, leak or rust! Now available in 2 finishes, original polished finish and new brushed finish that looks just like the brushed stainless steel found on high end kitchen appliances. Brushed finish available on BIG and KING systems.
Humanity seems to be either entering the final stride for perfection, or backsliding into extinction.

What can humanity expect? Immortality or annihilation? Will there be, as the Mayans predicted, a transformation of consciousness this Dec 21st? Will science explain the odyssey of understanding God? Either way we’re in for a bumpy ride. Look at your wife, your husband and your kids. We have become a zombie walking system of sheeples that unwittingly serve the corporate elite and fund the bankers and oligarchy who admit they are geo-engineering us and planning our destruction.

But how can that be? We are all programmed to the tune of what to buy, wear, how to act, smell, feel, judge, what to drive, watch, hear, purchase and more. How did we arrive to this cooperate mass affliction on such a national scale? Perhaps it all began in Germany with the social engineers of their day. After all, it was PROJECT PAPERCLIP that brought the Nazi scientists to the United States, whereby they were introduced into U.S. industry.

But it goes back even further. The “Enlightened Ones,” or Illuminati, have been in the business of social engineering us all since the days of Adam Weishaupt by centralizing the mystery religions, elite secret societies and Masonic teachings to create a social Utopia which was the basic framework for the New World Order. The real intention of this zombie culture is to control the masses and bring total domination to the planet.

The books “TRANCE Formation of America: The True Life Story of a CIA Slave” by Cathy O’Brien and, “The Search for the Manchurian Candidate,” by John Marks both expose MK-ULTRA, the U.S. government’s secret mind control program. If you don’t believe that behavioral modification has been going on, simply research online for the 1977 Senate Hearings on MKULTRA. The 95th Congress first exposed the CIA’s actions during the Select Committee on Intelligence in August of that year with Senator Barry Goldwater as the Vice Chairman. So we know that the intelligence community has sought to control our thoughts, our behavior patterns and dominate us for generations. MK-ULTRA has been discussed in many films and books and is an example of how governments, particularly OUR government, exercise their will over individuals for scientific explorations and/or for political gain. Alex Jones’ article “Rise of the Robots” in last month’s issue discussed the predictions of futurist Ray Kurzweil and a hellish vision of intelligent robots taking over humanity. In less than 80 years from now, the futurist predicted that many human beings would be ostracized for refusing implantable chips or not downloading the latest neural update. While we are still cognitive human beings built in the image of our Creator and full of the energy of the human spirit, this generation of humanity must learn to replace the apathy that has taken over the minds of the masses with courage, honor and freedom.

Our television shows and movies mock freedom as we are spoon fed new terror plots emphasizing the latest concepts of boogiemen in order to perpetuate fear and fund the wars. It is this false sense of nationalism that is galvanizing the public into a...
new belief system; one based on fear and war. The matrix we live in is one huge PSYOP and the false left-right political paradigm is the main catalyst harboring the dystopian world these architects of deception construct in the minds of man.

The mainstream media and government would have you believe that you are a terrorist if you believe in the forefathers, the 2nd amendment, the Constitution, wear blue jeans, use a cell phone, or have any negatively critical opinions about the office of the President. The DHS Communities against Terrorism document recently announced that going to an Internet café or just simply paying with cash might label you to be a terrorist. Do a YouTube search on Alex Jones’ video “Blueprint of Madmen” to learn more about this report yourself. Yes, it is the zombie culture at the mercy of the corporations, and the battlefields for today’s apocalyptic struggle for your mind are in your living room, in the form of a TV broadcast, talk show, video game, or on your mobile device in the form of the latest privacy-invading app.

So ask yourself: are you a zombie in these times obscured by reality TV and fake news? Or, are you willing to stand up for truth? Will you sit idly by like the German people of the 1930s and wait for the next savior to rescue our nation with promises of change?

I, for one, will not sit idly by. I will write about truth, corruption and expose big government for what they are and the agenda their handlers have in store for you, the American people and the world at large. Through educational films, public meetings, public access TV shows, alternative talk radio and the internet, you can inform your neighbors in your communities about what you know and what to prepare for. We can restore our nation to greatness, but we must wake up the sheeple among us or the zombie apocalypse will begin with the next episode of “Dancing with the Stars!”

Russel Dowden is the general manager of Infowars Magazine and former owner and publisher of Weird Magazine.

CENTRALIZING THE MYSTERY RELIGIONS AND ELITE SECRET SOCIETIES AND MASONIC TEACHINGS TO CREATE A SOCIAL UTOPIA WHICH WAS THE BASIC FRAMEWORK FOR THE NEW WORLD ORDER.
During the mid 1990s two fascinating papers were presented in a publication called The Journal of Consciousness Studies.

The first was titled Conversations with Zombies, by Todd C. Moody, a Philosophy professor at St. Joseph’s University in Philadelphia. The second was a direct response written by Charles T. Tart of the Institute of Transpersonal Psychology, entitled Yes, We Are Zombies, But We Can Become Conscious!

Both papers embrace the notion that consciousness, or our awareness of our own awareness, could be a phenomenon independent from or non-essential to the processes of the brain and the nervous system and associated behavior that stems from it.

In other words, we as humans are capable of living most of the time in a reduced state of consciousness akin to that of a zombie; a waking sleep. Both papers argue that there is a higher form of “superconsciousness” as Moody calls it, or an “expanded consciousness state” in Tart’s words.

Moody states:

The ‘zombie problem’ is the problem of consciousness, stated in a particularly provocative way. Given any functional description of cognition, as detailed and complete as one can imagine, it will still make sense to suppose that there could be insentient beings that exemplify that description. That is, it is possible that there could be a behaviourally indiscernible but insentient simulacrum of a human cognizer: a zombie.

“Indeed, if conscious inessentialism is true, it is quite possible for an entire world of zombies to evolve... It is behaviours, after all, and not subjective states, that
are subjected to evolutionary selection pressures. If those behaviours do not require consciousness, then evolution is indifferent to it."

Tart notes:

"Ordinary, 'normal' consciousness is indeed a zombie-like state of greatly constricted and distorted, if not absent, 'consciousness'. I have given such ordinary consciousness the technical name of 'consensus consciousness' in the purely descriptive sense and 'consensus trance' when focusing on it as a restricted state."

While Moody concludes that we could all be zombies living only "to the power of Z", and never fully experiencing a kind of "mystic" interaction with higher powers or God, Tart believes that it is possible to experience an "awakening":

"I know from personal experience that there is a transient and perhaps permanent 'awakening' from consensus trance/consciousness, a clarification or lucidity, that is rewarding and adaptive in itself, as well as vividly illustrating the zombie-like qualities of ordinary consciousness.

People in this expanded consciousness state or process seem indeed to understand each other fairly well, even though what they communicate may sometimes seem ineffable to those in ordinary, zombie-like consciousness".

Tart argues that by focusing the mind on "the space between thoughts", in the tradition of many Eastern enlightenment philosophies such as Sufism or Zen, one can "awaken" from this consensus trance and realise there is a greater consciousness potential:

"I have now experienced the space between thoughts many times, that I can often produce and prolong that expanded consciousness state, to various degrees, at will, and that from within that kind of 'spaciousness', it is clear that my ordinary consciousness, where I live 99+ percent of my life, is indeed zombie-like. Yet in this state of zombie consciousness, which is 'normal' for our civilization, I am generally considered an intelligent, articulate and successful person. [...] my ordinary state of consciousness is zombie-like in the general pejorative sense of the term. These zombie qualities include: (a) a greatly reduced sense of aliveness and vitality; (b) a great narrowing of perspective and perception; (c) a consuming psychological identification with some small subset of my full potential; (d) reduced intelligence stemming from this narrow perspective and identification; and (e) a selfishness, a self-centeredness as compared to a more open and compassionate attention to the rest of reality."

Tart posits that, much like when we awaken from a dream and realise that "the dream state was a quite inferior state of consciousness," when we return from experiencing "that 'space between thoughts' or expanded consciousness" to our everyday state, we are able to realise that we are living a limited existence, and that we are "often suffering over 'problems' that are not real," problems that only exist by virtue of our constricted mental condition.

In his conclusion, Tart urges the reader to "experiment with 'awakening'" and warns that if we do not, we are doomed to a zombie existence:

"I believe everyone has had at least fleeting moments of expanded consciousness, even if largely forgotten in the hectic rush of zombie lifeZ, and this commentary is to remind you of them and their importance. If we do not develop this wider perspective, we shall, in Moody's terms, just have our zombie science of consciousnessZ, thoughtZ, feelingZ, hopeZ, fearZ, lifeZ and deathZ. Speaking from my occasional experiences of expanded consciousness, that would be sad indeed."

While Moody and Tart's theorizing on the zombie existence is fascinating and enlightening, they do not delve into great detail regarding the origins of zombie consciousness. Specifically what is it that is restricting our mind potential? Without knowing this it difficult to understand exactly how we break our zombie programming.

MANY ADDITIVES AND PESTICIDES IN FOOD ARE TOXINS THAT SHUT DOWN BRAIN STIMULATION, LEADING TO A GENERAL LACK OF CONCENTRATION AND APATHY.

Food, Water, and Air - We are being bombarded with chemicals that are literally altering our brains and the way we interpret reality. Fluoride in drinking water has been proven to lower IQ. Many additives and pesticides in food are toxins that shut down brain stimulation, leading to a general lack of concentration and apathy. Unlabeled GMO food has been proven to cause all manner of ailments in lab animals. No one quite knows why chemtrails are being sprayed in our skies, but the resulting heavy metals that we are breathing and that are entering the soil are directly affecting our brains.

Solutions: Eat organic, cut out fast foods, reject GMOs, educate others on the existence of chemtrail programs.

Drugs, Vaccines - Big pharmaceutical companies make money from getting us hooked on substances and medications that do not cure us from anything, but literally alter our brains. More than one quarter of U.S. children are on some form of mind-altering medication. Vaccines containing mercury based preservatives are being given to children and babies. Mercury is a deadly poison that accumulates in the brain.

Solutions: Avoid anti-depressants, research natural remedies, educate others on the dangers of vaccines.

Television – TV induces a state of semi consciousness. It literally alters the state of the brain. In young children it inhibits development of social skills. See our piece on how TV is zombifying humanity.

Solutions: Turn it off! Reading and radio increase cognition and build neuron paths.

Education - Public schools promote systems of control and encourage subservience to those systems.

Solutions: Charlotte Iserbyt's book The Deliberate Dumbing Down of America, lays bare the role of Globalist foundations in shaping a future intended to produce servile drones lorded over by a fully educated, aware elite class.

Media agendas, Propaganda placement – Perception of reality is shaped by a large extent by what you read and watch. The mainstream media is wholly owned by large corporations who have their own agendas, which do not incorporate your freedom of expression and critical thinking.

The same big corporations own the large movie studios and TV companies. Propaganda placement and predictive programming is now an accepted part of programming and films.

Solution: Seek alternative media sources, expose media lies. Research predictive programming techniques and learn to recognise propaganda. Author Alan Watt has written extensively on the subject.

Bread and Circus – Everyone loves to watch the occasional football game. However, modern professional sports have become nothing more than big businesses promoting tribalism and division. They are riddled with corruption and serve only to distract from reality. When more people protest and riot over sports matters than they do over real issues, it indicates serious social zombification.

Solution: Engage in and support local sports. Switch off. Refuse to pay huge amounts of money to watch major sports events.

Steve Watson is the London based writer and editor for Alex Jones’ Infowars.com, and Prisonplanet.com. He has a Masters Degree in International Relations from the School of Politics at The University of Nottingham in England.
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Are you a zombie? Do you react instinctively to external stimulus without engaging in thought? Are your opinions of what happens in the world shaped by your own intuition and knowledge or by television and mass media? Are you trapped in a state of consciousness that more closely resembles sleep than waking reality?

Chances are that if you are reading this article you don’t consider yourself a zombie, but make no mistake about it, you are surrounded by them. Some of them may be your co-workers, friends or even your family members.

America is fast turning into a zombie nation and it didn’t happen by accident; it happened by design. The system has declared war on human consciousness.

Using every tool available to them, the controllers have set about trying to create, through behavioral modification and social engineering, a race of beings who more closely resemble fictional zombies than actual properly functioning people.

They have achieved this, primarily through the medium of television. As Steve Watson explains in his article, “The Idiot Box: How TV Is Turning Us All Into Zombies,” studies have confirmed that watching television or even having it on in the background actually impairs brain development in children. This damages their ability to learn cognitive thinking and develop language skills as well as increases their chances of developing ADHD and social problems.

Television programs watched by children increase aggressive tendencies and decrease attention spans, creating a zombie-like reactionary mindset that represents the antithesis of true knowledge and enlightenment.

For adults, television has been proven to alter consciousness by reducing brain activity to below Delta frequency, ensuring that critical thinking is bypassed and whatever message being pushed is emotionally embraced with total disregard for any form of logic.

Watching television also has the effect of releasing endorphins, which act as a kind of happy sedative for the viewer, putting them in a trance-like state wherein they become highly suggestible and compliant to brainwashing.

This is why there is just as much propaganda, if not
more, embedded in fictional television shows compared to news programs. In 2006, the Fox network admitted they were inserting messages into sitcoms and dramas as a form of social engineering to make viewers accept the reality of man-made global warming rhetoric.

More recently, it was reported that U.S. taxpayers would fund a multi-million dollar effort to have made-in-Hollywood television shows promote ObamaCare in their storylines.

Television is the modern-day equivalent of Plato’s allegory of the cave. The zombies are beholden to a projection of reality that is completely manufactured by the controllers. Instead of debating real issues, the zombies can only debate the intricacies of soap operas, sports, or partisan politics which is merely another form of the soap opera in a contrived political context.

TV also projects a false culture on the western world and indoctrinates the viewer to believe that the bizarre, animalistic and decadent behavior is the ‘normalcy’ that needs to be emulated.

The role of TV is not only to manipulate behavior and opinion; it is to fill the viewer with a sense of self-loathing, inadequacy and worthlessess.

This plays into the controllers’ plan to portray humanity as a cancerous plague upon the earth – much like a horde of zombies – that should at best be micromanaged, dominated and enslaved, and at worst simply eliminated altogether.

Death and decadence are promoted at every opportunity. This attitude was encapsulated, most recently, by television host Bill Maher when he said that the media should “promote death” – through encouraging abortion, suicide and the death penalty – because there are too many people on the planet.

We are constantly bombarded with this myth that there are too many of us on the earth, that human life is not precious, and that we are pointless scum with no destiny or purpose in our lives. This culture of death is repeatedly slammed down our throats.

This is nothing less than a deliberate ploy to make the zombie accept the notion that he has no dignity and can be rolled over and enslaved at any point.

This culture of death is also contributing to an evisceration of human empathy. How many stories have you seen over the last few years of people simply walking by and ignoring someone who is lying dead or dying in the street pleading for help? We are being zombiefied by being disconnected from our human emotions. The things that separate humans from animals – love, compassion and caring – are under constant attack.

While in the past, our heroes were trailblazers, inventors, poets, great authors, painters and scientists, today’s heroes are reality TV stars and celebrities who exhibit some of the very worst aspects of human nature – greed, selfishness, arrogance, nihilism and superficiality.

Past heroes have pushed the envelope of human creativity. Now the people our media now exalts as heroes are treated like gods for achieving nothing besides fame itself.

While the zombie lives most of his or her life in a trance-like state, a key component of the condition is to be able to tolerate abuse and indignity without feeling the natural human impulse to resist or even complain. Mass psychological Stockholm Syndrome, where the victim actually feels empathy and positive feelings for their captors, is played out on a daily basis. A recent poll showed that despite the federal agency being caught in scandals and stories about abuse multiple times weekly, the majority of Americans still think the TSA is doing a good job!

Huge numbers of American zombies are living with battered wife syndrome. Their government has screwed them on every front, and yet, unlike across Europe where civil unrest has raged over the last two years, Americans have sat back and done virtually nothing.

A mass psychosis of normalcy bias has gripped the American zombie. Rather than actually taking steps to respond to, prepare for, or even acknowledge the fact that the economy, the country and society itself are all collapsing, the zombie instead chooses to enter a catatonic state where all warnings are ignored and feel-good propaganda is gleefully embraced as gospel truth.

The zombie is enraptured by a state of suspended disbelief, a dream-like state that makes them sponges for indoctrination and behavior modification.

In a recent article titled “Why Are Americans So Easy to Manipulate?,” Bruce E. Levine explains how the authoritarian rulers in charge of our society are using mental health and psychological conditioning techniques to lobotomize our free will and our ability to think independently.
“Today, the mental health profession has gone beyond behavioral technologies of control. It now diagnoses non-compliant toddlers with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, oppositional defiant disorder, and pediatric bipolar disorder and attempts to control them with heavily sedating drugs. While Big Pharma directly profits from drug prescribing, the entire corporatocracy benefits from the mental health profession’s legitimization of conditioning and controlling,” writes Levine.

Television, as well as Big Pharma and the poisoning of our air, food and water are the primary drivers of the zombie plague. Some examples of the poisonous world we live in:
- Studies have confirmed that sodium fluoride in the water supply not only causes cancer, but also lowers one’s IQ, according to a recent Harvard study. Anecdotal evidence clearly suggests that Americans are getting dumber and are more easily led to believe lies as a result of their low intelligence.
- MSG in our food, more and more of which is genetically modified, is causing Americans to become fatter and lazier. Additives and pesticides in food are leading to a general lack of concentration and apathy, impairing brain stimulation.
- Vaccines contain mercury, a deadly toxin that accumulates in the brain.

While there may be little hope for the hordes of zombies who have already long since sacrificed their free will and capacity for critical thought over to the juggernaut of social engineering, there is ample opportunity for those who continue to seek out spiritual and intellectual enlightenment to re-assert their individuality by restricting their exposure to mass entertainment and television, avoiding the ravages of Big Pharma, eating the right foods and maintaining a positive outlook on life.

These are all obvious steps to take and yet the majority of Americans will probably fail to take even one of them in their entire life – a fact that pleases the social engineers and helps maintain a steady supply of zombies to help convince the rest of humanity that resistance to zombiefication is futile.

WHILE IN THE PAST, OUR HEROES WERE TRAILBLAZERS, INVENTORS, POETS, GREAT AUTHORS, PAINTERS AND SCIENTISTS, TODAY’S HEROES ARE REALITY TV STARS AND CELEBRITIES WHO EXHIBIT SOME OF THE VERY WORST ASPECTS OF HUMAN NATURE – GREED, SELFISHNESS, ARROGANCE, NIHILISM AND SUPERFICIALITY.

The water supply not only causes cancer, but also lowers one’s IQ, according to a recent Harvard study. Anecdotal evidence clearly suggests that Americans are getting dumber and are more easily led to believe lies as a result of their low intelligence.
- MSG in our food, more and more of which is genetically modified, is causing Americans to become fatter and lazier. Additives and pesticides in food are leading to a general lack of concentration and apathy, impairing brain stimulation.
- Vaccines contain mercury, a deadly toxin that accumulates in the brain.

Only by withdrawing from the artificial reality that has been woven around us can we hope to avoid being infected by the zombie plague. You have to be in the world but not of it.
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Television turns you into a zombie.

While these words are more often than not used as a tiresome metaphor to highlight how much crap we are forced to endure on television today, they do in fact serve as a deadly accurate literal statement.

Two separate studies in October alone have found that excessive amounts of television, even if it is merely on in the background, can detrimentally affect the development of children’s brains, to the point where they struggle to socially engage when they become older.

Add to this the already extensively documented impact that the television has on all of us, the power it has to literally alter our consciousness and shut down critical thinking, and it is no wonder that it was long ago dubbed the idiot box.

As reported by Reuters in October, researchers from the University of North Carolina Wilmington (UNCW), found that background noise emitted from television is so distracting and mesmerizing to children that it is impacting their ability to interact with other human beings and potentially slowing down cognitive thinking and language development.

The study, published in the journal Pediatrics, found that children in the U.S. are now exposed to more than five hours a day of television. Matthew Lapierre, who led the study, explained that children who are subjected to the most TV spend less time interacting with other children and parents.

Lapierre also found that younger children are subjected to the most background television.

“This is a clear warning signal to parents that if they are not watching TV, they ought to turn it off,” said Dr. Victor Strasburger, a pediatrician from the University of New Mexico in Albuquerque who has previously studied media exposure among children. “[It is also] a reminder that parents should be avoiding screen time in infants under two,” he said.

“It’s confusing for babies who are trying to get their language together to have indistinguishable voices in the background,” Strasburger also noted, telling reporters that when parents bring their children to him, he can tell which toddlers are overexposed to TV.

“The babies that are being read to are just chattering away, and the babies that sit in front of a TV are silent,” he said. “It means their language development is threatened – they may catch up, but it’s a concern.”

In a separate study, doctors at the Royal College of Pediatrics and Child Health in London found that children born today will have watched a full year of television by the time they are seven years old. The study also found that on average children now spend more time watching television than they do in school.

Dr. Aric Sigman published the study in the Archives Of Disease In Childhood, a medical journal owned in part by the British Medical Journal group.

Sigman noted that such extensive exposure to television can lead to a void when it comes to social relationships, can lead to attention deficit problems and can promote significant psychological difficulties.

Sigman also noted that overexposure to new technologies such as 3D televisions and consoles could seriously affect the development of depth perception in children.

The study recommends preventing children under three years old from watching television altogether, and says that all children should be limited to less than two hours of TV per day.

“As health risks are reported to occur beyond exposure of two hours of screen time per day, although the average child is exposed to three times this amount, a robust initiative to encourage a reduction in daily recreational screen time could lead to significant improvements in child health and development,” Sigman noted.

In a report issued one year ago, the American Academy of Pediatrics pointed out that scores of previous studies have come to the same conclusions; that there is a direct link between increased TV time and developmental delays in children.

In 2010, another study published in Pediatrics found that during analysis of over 1,000 children between the ages of ten and eleven, those who spend at least two hours a day in front of a television screen are 60 percent more likely to have psychological problems than children who spend less or no time. The study also noted that even children taking part in physical activities but still watching TV are still fifty percent more likely to suffer problems such as hyperactivity, difficulty with peers and friends, poor conduct and antisocial kinds of behavior.

Further studies, published in the Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine, found that children exposed to more TV are significantly more likely to exhibit aggressive behavior and perform poorly in school. In addition, the findings noted that children who watch more TV are more likely to eat more junk-food and suffer bullying at the hands of classmates – consequences that have their own brain re-wiring effects.

Other recent scientific studies have noted that many programs produced specifically for children may have even worse effects on their development because they are very fast moving, thus overtaxing the brain and promoting reduced attention span.

Owing to such proven effects of television and video games, children’s minds are being numbed before they have even developed. By the time they reach adulthood, they act according to decisions made to a great extent unconsciously. They are effectively zombies; humans operating with an impulsive, reactionary mind set, at the expense of logical analysis and critical thought.

And kids are not the only ones who are susceptible to television’s ability to create armies of the walking dead. It is commonly known that television flicker rates induce alpha brain waves, lulling the brain into a more subconscious state that can be compared to sleep,
literally inducing a type of hypnosis within the viewer that makes them more susceptible to suggestion.

This has been known since the 1960s, and was most notably proven in an experiment in 1969 by Herbert Krugman. The research, undertaken by Krugman as part of a larger project concerned with advertising, revealed that the brain’s left hemisphere, which processes information logically and analytically, effectively tunes out almost completely when an individual watches television.

The radiant light and flicker rate of television screens cause brain activity to drop toward more of a theta state. Critical thinking reduces, leaving the parts of the brain that hold memories, sensations and emotions the most active. Whatever is coming from the TV therefore somewhat bypasses the logical mind and is embedded directly into the subconscious. In other words, TV appeals more to emotions than logic.

Numerous studies have also found that flicker rates in video games cause altered consciousness. Some have been shown to reduce brain activity to below Delta frequency.

Other studies have also flagged up a link between watching too much television and Alzheimer’s disease. The semi-conscious state induced by television is thought to directly contribute to the symptoms of memory, speech and perception problems.

Krugman also discovered that reading and listening to audio increases cognition and builds neuron paths because you have to think critically and envision the “theater of the mind.”

In addition, the crossover from the left to the right brain induced by watching television causes a release of the body’s natural opiates, similar to a release of endorphins when exercising. This has the effect of making the viewer feel good. Consequently, withdrawal symptoms can kick in if viewers turn off the tube. As with any form of opiate-withdrawal, symptoms include increased anxiety, frustration and depression.

Experiments conducted in the 1970s found that people who turn off their TVs for long periods after prolonged viewing suffer from depression, with some noting that they felt as though they had “lost a friend.”

A combination of four studies, published in the Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, concluded that television shows can instill a sense of belonging in viewers with low self-esteem and a lack of social relationships. Referring to the notion as social surrogacy, psychologists at the University at Buffalo and Miami University in Ohio found that in order to fill the emotional void of social deprivation, some people forge relationships with fictional characters in TV shows.

TV really is the opiate of the masses.

Of course, what I describe here is only a snapshot. We are today bombarded from all angles with distractions, substances and conditions created to transform the way we interpret our reality. We are being conditioned from birth to act increasingly without consciousness; the one thing that sets us apart from every other living thing in the known universe.

We are literally being programmed into a waking sleep, a zombie-like existence. We must act vigilantly and educate others if we are to break this programming and preserve our humanity.

**How an Episode of Pokémon Inspired the US and Russian Militaries to Create “Zombie Guns”**

by STEVE WATSON

Top U.S. military brass once considered creating “non lethal” weapons that would literally zombiefy everyone within hundreds of meters. Bizarrely, the officials cited a Japanese television broadcast as their muse.

In 1997, an episode of the popular Pokémon cartoon caused almost 700 Japanese viewers, most of whom were children, to experience a vast range of ailments from blurred vision, dizziness and nausea to hyperventilation, convulsions and even seizures and cases of temporary blindness.

The severe reactions were caused by a virtual explosion scene that flashed red and blue light on the screen at just the right frequency to affect the brain and trigger a photosensitive reaction.

In a follow-up study, it was revealed that close to a quarter of those affected by it experienced at least one more convulsion in the five years following the broadcast of the episode.

The incident served as a reminder that visual stimuli such as flashing lights and whirling circles can cause altered consciousness. It is believed that around 1 in 4,000 people are susceptible to seizures induced by such visuals, however, the number of people affected by this Pokémon episode caused some to question those figures.

The incident was also a very visible example of how the human brain can be manipulated and how consciousness can be altered on a mass scale.

Indeed, earlier this year, U.S. Army documents were uncovered that specifically referred to the Pokémon episode and how the military could develop weapons that replicated such effects.

“It is thought by using a method that would actually trigger nerve synapses directly with an electrical field, essentially 100% of individuals would be susceptible to seizure induction,” the documents read.

According to the documents, Army researchers believed that everyone within confined areas could be induced into seizure via “Excitation directly on the brain” using an electromagnetic pulse weapon fired from “hundreds of miles” away.

The documents also mention other potential weapons including using radio frequencies to manipulate body temperature and “mimic a fever.”

The Army claims that the research on the weapons was halted at a relatively early stage. However, since that time many forms of non lethal weapons, including heat rays and audio devices have been actively deployed.

In addition, earlier this year, Russian officials announced that they are also developing the same kind of “zombie gun.” Australia news outlet the Herald Sun reported that the concentrated energy gun would be capable of attacking the central nervous system of its targets — inflicting varying levels of pain, and essentially turning enemies into “zombies.”

Russian leader Vladimir Putin reportedly told journalists that “…the technology is comparable in effects to nuclear weapons but more acceptable in terms of political and military ideology.”

The next generation of non lethal weapons currently under development include direct-energy, geophysical, wave-energy, genetic, as well as psychotronic weapons.
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“Quantitative easing—a fancy term for the Federal Reserve buying securities from predefined financial institutions, such as their investments in federal debt or mortgages—is fundamentally a regressive redistribution program that has been boosting wealth for those already engaged in the financial sector or those who already own homes, but passing little along to the rest of the economy. It is a primary driver of income inequality formed by crony capitalism. And it is hurting prospects for economic growth down the road by promoting malinvestments in the economy.”

John Williams Of Shadowstats.com
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We have reached a major turning point in the financial history of the United States. It would be hard to overstate how much damage QE3 could potentially do to our financial system. If the rest of the world decides at some point that they no longer have confidence in our dollars and our debt then we are finished.

I’ve been buying silver since it was $5 and gold since it was $260 and I’ve never sold any of it. They’re devaluing the dollar and every other major currency. In my book, Smart Money is on Precious Metals and Midas Resources is the place to go.- Alex Jones
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They ran for class president, or head of the student council. Some were Eagle Scouts. And now they are all grown up. But really, they have never grown up. In the deepest sense, they act and behave as if they are still in high school and still members of the same exclusive clique they hung around with as kids. You didn't like them, and they didn't like you. But you went your way, and they went theirs.

In spirit, they really never left high school because they never had to. Having never questioned the system, they never moved outside of it. Their career paths were pre-paved for them. Still trapped in their teenage state of mind, they seldom contemplate the consequences of their decisions or take responsibility for their actions. In high school it didn't matter much. And, if they ever veered off their path – unless it was criminal – their worst punishment was a reprimand or suspension.

The big difference now is that with the high school clique in charge of the world's largest economy and most powerful military, its decisions and actions affect all of us. They continue telling us what's best for us, what's right and wrong, what to do and how to do it. But now, instead of telling them to f@#k off, entire nations listen to them, obey them, look up to and even fight and die at the behest of these high school kids who have grown older but not grown up.

Why does it never occur to the public that the same wanker who was their high school class president is now President of the United States, Cabinet Secretary, Senator or Congressman?

And the “do-gooder” Eagle Scout that would rat you out to the teacher for cheating has followed his pre-paved path through ROTC or West Point, punched his ticket up the military chain of command and is now the General who keeps losing thousands of men in losing wars.

No, no, show respect! Having graduated and matriculated, they are no longer the overly ambitious, insincere, suck-ups and brown-nosers that you couldn't stand. They are “lawmakers” and distinguished “leaders.” What accounts for the behavior of the masters and of the enslaved, of the leaders and of their followers?

Are they deluded by the trappings of office, the red carpets, the salutes, the pomp and pageantry, backed up by a lifetime of indoctrination? What prevents them from seeing through it all?

Was it because of the way the system was sold to them by the Presstitutes?

The Presstitutes

Yes, the Presstitutes! Back in high school, these were the people who wrote the school newspaper and helped put together and publish the yearbook. And like the class presidents who followed their career path, they got degrees in journalism and communications and the most successful among them sucked their way up to become mouthpieces for the corporate networks and the largest newspapers.

In high school, the kids writing the school newspaper knew their place and never overstepped their bounds. While they played “journalist,” promising their classmates they'd ask tough questions of the principal or gym teacher, but when it came down to it, they did...
their utmost to show respect and never questioned their subjects’ authority.

And now, as grownups, they continue to play the role and pretend they are hardcore journalists, when in practice they are soft-core “presstitutes.” When it comes to grilling a high-level politician or top CEO, they respectfully pitch softball questions and accept the answers without challenge.

And while it can be argued that the press has never been “free,” what can’t be argued is that never has it been as controlled as in 2012. The collusion between the press, politicians and CEOs ominously echoed the relationship that existed between the USSR and its apparatchiks. The USA has become the USSA.

The excerpts from the following New York Times story, titled “Latest Word on the Trail? I Take It Back,” reveal one of the most important and disturbing trends affecting 21st-century Americans:

“The quotations come back redacted, stripped of colorful metaphors, colloquial language and anything even mildly provocative. They are sent by e-mail from the Obama headquarters in Chicago to reporters who have interviewed campaign officials under one major condition: the press office has veto power over what statements can be quoted and attributed by name.

Most reporters, desperate to pick the brains of the president’s top strategists, grudgingly agree. After the interviews, they review their notes, check their tape recorders and send in the juiciest sound bites for review. The verdict from the campaign — an operation that prides itself on staying consistently on script — is often no, Barack Obama does not approve this message. Quote approval is standard practice for the Obama campaign, used by many top strategists and almost all midlevel aides in Chicago and at the White House ...

It is also commonplace throughout Washington and on the campaign trail.

The Romney campaign insists that journalists interviewing any of Mitt Romney’s five sons agree to use only quotations that are approved by the press office. And Romney advisers almost always require that reporters ask them for the green light on anything from a conversation that they would like to include in an article.

From Capitol Hill to the Treasury Department, interviews granted only with quote approval have become the default position. Those officials who dare to speak out of school, but fearful of making the slightest off-message remark, shroud even the most innocuous and anodyne quotations in anonymity by insisting they be referred to as a “top Democrat” or a “Republican strategist.”

Many journalists spoke about the editing only if granted anonymity, an irony that did not escape them. It was difficult to find a news outlet that had not agreed to quote approval, albeit reluctantly. Organizations like Bloomberg, The Washington Post, Vanity Fair, Reuters and The New York Times have all consented to interviews under such terms. (NYT, 15 July 2012)

“Quote approval?” That was a presstitute euphemism for censorship! That’s what it would be called in any other country. But back in the USSA, presstitutes “desperate to pick the brains of the president’s top strategists, grudgingly agree” to “conduct interviews under such terms.” “Grudgingly” or otherwise, writing only what they are permitted to write or repeat is not journalism. It’s submission to authority; it’s cowardice. In fact, so cowardly have the presstitutes become that they insist upon “anonymity” even when speaking to their presstitute peers!

American journalists had admittedly abandoned the principles of their profession. It wasn’t about doing what was morally right, it was self-preservation. Suck up; bow down; show no self respect; be a good girl or boy; just write what you are told, and you’ll keep your job – until you get downsized.

But there was a major difference between journalism USSA and journalism USSR. If the American presstitutes did not comply they’d lose their jobs; in the USSR, they’d lose their heads.

Gerald Celente is an American trend forecaster, publisher of the Trends Journal, business consultant and author who makes predictions about the global financial markets and other events of historical importance. His website is www.trendsresearch.com
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**THE SOBRIETY TEST**
You have the right to refuse to answer questions about your activities leading up to your car being stopped. You have the right to refuse to answer questions about your drinking. You have the right to refuse to perform field sobriety tests. You have the right to refuse to take the test. You have the right to ask to call my office 24 hours a day.

**UNNECESSARY SEARCHES**
Know your 4th Amendment Rights. The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no Warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by Oaths or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized.

**TRAINING**
The DWI Dude is trained to handle your case and stay one step ahead of the game. Jamie has attended the top training seminars in the nation in regards to DWI and Drug cases. Jamie is certified as an operator and technician for the Intoxilyzer 5000, the GC/MS Blood testing device, and he is an instructor of the BST program. Jamie’s years as an undercover vice officer give him a tremendous edge in the courtroom.

**FREE CONSULTATION!**
Call the Dude at one of his offices or go to www.DWIDUDEx.com and fill out the form for a free consultation regarding your case and situation. Ask for Jamie T. Balagia, Jr. If you have any questions send an email to Attorney Tristan Dan at Tristan@dwillude.com for more information or Call him at the Austin office 512-278-0935.

**NO REFUSAL WEEKEND**
The “No Refusal Weekend” (NRW) program is another government attempt to confuse and prey on the citizenry. So-called NRW programs literally mean that your blood will be taken for you if necessary. If you do not cooperate, they will conduct a DMV investigation and provide a breath or blood specimen to the law enforcement agency that arrested you. NRW programs strongly suggest that the judge is predisposed to impose a jail sentence. Do not cooperate. If you are refused, the next step is to ask for the requesting affidavit. NRW programs incorrectly suggest that you cannot refuse to do anything that the officer requests of you.

---

**DWI DUDE’S 2012 SEASON RECORD**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CLIENT</th>
<th>COUNTY</th>
<th>CHARGE</th>
<th>VERDICT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R. K.</td>
<td>Bexar Co.</td>
<td>DWI 1ST</td>
<td>DISMISSED ON MOTION TO SUPPRESS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. J.</td>
<td>Val Verde Co.</td>
<td>DWI 1ST</td>
<td>NOT GUILTY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. N. G.</td>
<td>Bexar Co.</td>
<td>DWI 1ST</td>
<td>NOT GUILTY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. A.</td>
<td>Bexar Co.</td>
<td>DWI 1ST</td>
<td>NOT GUILTY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. I.</td>
<td>Bexar Co. 9</td>
<td>DWI 1ST</td>
<td>NOT GUILTY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. G.</td>
<td>Hays Co.</td>
<td>DWI 1ST</td>
<td>MISTRIAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. G.</td>
<td>Bexar Co.</td>
<td>DWI 1ST</td>
<td>GUILTY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. M.</td>
<td>Comal Co.</td>
<td>DWI 1ST</td>
<td>NOT GUILTY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. J.</td>
<td>Hays Co.</td>
<td>DWI 1ST</td>
<td>NOT GUILTY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. A.</td>
<td>Comal Co.</td>
<td>DWI 1ST</td>
<td>NOT GUILTY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. R.</td>
<td>Coryell Co.</td>
<td>DWI 1ST</td>
<td>NOT GUILTY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. H.</td>
<td>Hays Co.</td>
<td>DWI 1ST</td>
<td>NOT GUILTY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. N.</td>
<td>Williamson Co.</td>
<td>ASSAULT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**DWDIDUDE.COM | 420DUDEx.COM**

**KNOW THE DUDE!**

Jamie has been in the criminal justice system for over 20 years and has a true passion for defending peoples legal rights! Next to his love for God and his family - he loves his job. Jamie loves a good legal fight and will take on any DWI or other criminal case with the sole goal of victory! Jamie has been able to win the so-called “un-winnable” cases, by bringing more experience, training and desire to the courtroom then the State or any other lawyer can bring. He has testified as an expert witness in AUR hearings, and in the Travis County District Court on a Felony DWI case. Jamie has also assisted other attorneys in getting DWI cases reduced due to his analysis of a police officer’s performance. Jamie truly believes that you shouldn’t have to pay for a DWI or criminal conviction for the rest of your life, but also that you shouldn’t leave your case to a lawyer whose fees are the cheapest or to “empty suit” lawyers who look good but carry no substance. Here someone who will head to head with the DWI cops - CALL THE DUDE! His promise to you is to do the best of his ability to clear your name and to help you get back on your feet. We work hard to make your time with us fun as easy as possible.
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**LAW OFFICES OF JAMIE BALAGIA, P.C. • THE DWI DUDE • ALWAYS READY TO FIGHT FOR YOUR LEGAL RIGHTS!**

**SAVE TO YOUR PHONE NOW**

- **512.278.0935** Austin
- **210.394.3833** San Antonio

**AUSTIN OFFICES**
310 Murray Avenue • Austin, TX 78703
P: 512.278.0935 • F: 512.278.0991

**SAN ANTONIO OFFICES**
313 South Main Ave. • San Antonio, TX 78204
P: 210.394.3833

**TEXAS**

- Travis (Austin)
- Bexar (San Antonio)
- Hays (San Marcos)
- Williamson (Georgetown)
- Nueces (Corpus Christi)
- Comal (New Braunfels)
- Hidalgo (McAllen)

---

**ASK ABOUT YOUR LONGHORN FAN DISCOUNT**

---

**FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS (FAQ)**

**YOU DO NOT HAVE TO PERFORM ANY SOBRIETY AGILITY TESTS EVEN IF A NEW PROGRAM IS IN EFFECT.**

**YOU DO NOT HAVE TO GIVE A SAMPLE OF YOUR BREATH DURING A NEW PROGRAM.**

**YOU WILL NOT BE UN-ARRESTED IF YOU COOPERATE.**

**YOU DO NOT HAVE TO CONSENT TO YOUR BLOOD BEING DRAWN VOLUNTARILY; PERSECUTION REFUSE TO COOPERATE.**

**YOU MUST BE UNDER ARREST BEFORE THE POLICE CAN REQUEST YOUR BREATH OR BLOOD.**

---

**BUSTED? CALL THE DUDE NOW!**

**TRAVIS**

- Travis
- Bexar
- Hays
- Williamson
- Nueces
- Comal
- Hidalgo

**SAN ANTONIO**

- Travis
- Bexar
- Hays
- Williamson
- Nueces
- Comal
- Hidalgo
EITHER WAY YOUR VOTE COUNTS
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Archaic nuclear reactor designs such as those used at Fukushima – built by American company General Electric – were chosen because they were good for making nuclear bombs. The U.S. secretly helped Japan develop its nuclear weapons program starting in the 1980s. Therefore, the U.S. played a large role in Japan’s development of nuclear energy, albeit indirectly.

After the Fukushima disaster – in an effort to protect the American nuclear industry – the U.S. joined Japan in raising “acceptable” radiation levels. U.S. Secretary of State Hillary Clinton also signed a pact with her counterpart in Japan agreeing that the U.S. will continue buying seafood from Japan, despite the fact that the FDA is refusing to test seafood for radiation in any meaningful fashion. So U.S. actions are helping to protect a pro-nuclear policy in Japan.

Indeed, mainstream Japanese newspaper Nikkei reports that it was President Obama and Secretary of State Hillary Clinton who have pressured the Japanese to re-start that country’s nuclear program after the Japanese government vowed to end all nuclear power in the wake of the Fukushima disaster.

Ex-SKF reports, “Japanese media has been saying for some time that it was the U.S. government who pressured the Noda administration to drop the ‘zero nuke by 2030’ (which morphed into ‘zero nuke sometime in 2030s’) from its new nuclear and environmental policy decision. Tokyo Shinbun reported it a while ago, and now Nikkei Shinbun just reported it with more details. There is no news reported in the U.S. on the matter.

The difference of the Nikkei Shinbun’s article is that it names names: President Obama and Secretary of State Hillary Clinton.

It’s hard for me to believe that this president has time for trivial matters like actually governing the affairs inside and outside the U.S. in the election year (he must be very busy right now preparing for the big “debate”), but that’s what Nikkei Shinbun wants us to believe. The article also mentions Secretary of State Clinton pressuring the Noda administration officials by strongly indicating it was the wish of President Obama and the U.S. Congress that Japan scrap that silly nuclear energy policy.

And then, one added twist: the Nikkei article has disappeared.” Washington’s Blog located a version of the article, titled “The US request that Japan continue nuclear power plant is ‘the President’s idea,’” cached by Google. Here’s the Nikkei article:

“It has been revealed that the United States government was strongly urging [the Japanese government] to reconsider its policy of ‘zero nukes in 2030s’ which was part of the energy and environmental strategy of the Noda administration, as ‘President Obama wishes it.’ [The U.S. objection] was based on the fear that the framework of Japan-U.S. cooperation for non-proliferation and peaceful use of nuclear energy might collapse [under the new policy]. [The Noda administration] eventually shelved the cabinet decision, but this ambiguous resolution may cause further trouble in the future.

According to the multiple government sources, as the Noda administration was moving in August toward explicitly putting down ‘zero nuke’ in the official document, the U.S. strongly requested that Japan reconsider the ‘zero nuke’ policy, saying the request was ‘the result of discussion at the highest level of the government,’ indicating it
was the Obama administration's consensus, from the president on down.

On September 8, Prime Minister Yoshihiko Noda met with the U.S. Secretary of State Clinton during the APEC meeting in Vladivostok in Russia. Here again, representing the US president, Secretary Clinton expressed concern. While avoiding the overt criticism of the Noda administration’s policy, she further pressured Japan by stressing that it was President Obama and the U.S. Congress who were concerned.

The Noda administration sent its officials, including Special Advisor to Prime Minister Akihisa Nagashima, to the U.S. on an urgent mission to directly discuss matters with the high-ranking White House officials who were frustrated with the Japanese response. By treating the new strategy as only a reference material, the Noda administration averted the confrontation with the U.S. with the ‘equivocal’ resolution (according to the Japanese government source) which allowed the U.S. to interpret the Japanese action as shelving the zero nuke policy.

(According to Former Deputy Energy Secretary Martin), the U.S. government thinks, ‘The U.S. energy strategy would be more likely to suffer a direct damage’ because of the Japan’s policy change toward zero nuclear energy. It is because the Japanese nuclear policy is closely linked also to the nuclear non-proliferation and environmental policies aimed at preventing the global warming under the Obama administration.

In the Atomic Energy Agreement effective as of 1988, Japan and the U.S. agreed to a blanket statement that as long as it is at the Rokkasho Reprocessing Plant, reprocessing of the nuclear fuel is allowed without prior consent from the U.S. Japan's most important role [in the agreement] is to secure the peaceful use of plutonium without possessing nuclear weapons.

The current Japan-U.S. agreement will expire in 2018, and the government will need to start preliminary, unofficial discussions [with the U.S.] as early as next year. There is some time before the expiration of the agreement, but if Japan leaves its nuclear policy in vague terms the U.S. may object to renewal of permission for nuclear fuel reprocessing. Some (in the Japanese government) say ‘We are not sure any more what will happen to the renewal of the agreement.’"
The BattleProd, showcased by Donovan Hunter of Stunning Developments, Inc, is the world's first weapon-mountable, pain compliance-inducing stun baton which Hunter says is designed for use in “crowd control applications.”

The weapon was on display at the recent 2012 Special Forces Industry Conference, described as “the defense industry’s premier event,” a conference attended by military and police professionals. The event was sponsored by Lockheed Martin and Northrop Grumman. According to a write-up of the BattleProd by Defense Review, the weapon is “intimidating” and “loud.”

“This thing is just too much fun. I mean, what’s not too like? It gives you 3 to 5 million volts of compliance-inducing electricity, either in your hand or at the end of your weapon. Touch the BattleProd anywhere on the rod, and you’re going down like a sack of potatoes. Actually, you’re probably going night-night for a little while,” writes David Crane.

The most powerful Tasers can only reach around 50,000 volts and, despite being described as “non-lethal,” have killed at least 500 Americans. Given that the BattleProd can achieve 5 million volts, concerns surrounding the safety of the device will obviously be paramount. However, Hunter claims that the weapon cannot kill and that victims fully recover after about 20 minutes.

The Department of Homeland Security, the U.S. Army, the U.S. Military Police, as well as law enforcement bodies across the country are all making preparations for riots and civil unrest in light of domestic disorder that has gripped Europe as a result of the financial crisis, most recently in Greece and Spain.

A recently leaked U.S. Army Military Police training manual for “Civil Disturbance Operations” outlines how military assets are to be used domestically to quell riots, confiscate firearms and even kill Americans on U. S. soil during mass civil unrest.
The United States Congress has passed a bill which mandates the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) prepare for “mass fatality planning” and funeral homes, cemeteries and mortuaries being “overwhelmed” in the aftermath of a mass terror attack, natural disaster or other crisis.

The bill, H. R. 6566 or the Mass Fatality Planning and Religious Considerations Act, was posted on the govtrack.us website after being approved by the House on September 28.

The legislation amends the Homeland Security Act of 2002 to direct FEMA to “provide guidance and coordination for mass fatality planning, and for other purposes.”

Noting the necessity for emergency preparedness in relation to terror attacks, natural disasters and man-made disasters, the bill instructs FEMA to be sensitive to the fact that Jews and Muslims require bodies to be buried within 48 hours of death.

“Funeral homes, cemeteries, and mortuaries could be overwhelmed should mass fatalities arise from a natural disaster, act of terrorism, or other man-made disaster,” states the legislation.

Should the bill be given the green light by the Senate, the full amended text to the Homeland Security Act will state:

“Preparedness for Mass Fatalities- In carrying out this section, the Administrator shall provide guidance to and coordinate with appropriate individuals, including representatives from different communities, private sector businesses, non-profit organizations, and religious organizations, to prepare for and respond to a natural disaster, act of terrorism, or other man-made disaster that results in mass fatalities.”

“This is just one of those things that makes the stomach turn: the people who brought us the National Defense Authorization Act (authorizing the detention of US citizens on US soil) now deem it prudent to prepare for mass fatalities on US soil,” writes Simon Black.

“FEMA, as you may recall, is the same organization that couldn’t get bottles of water delivered to New Orleans after Hurricane Katrina... and held up hundreds of seasoned volunteer emergency service workers from entering the city for several days of mandatory sexual harassment training.”

The legislation will only serve to stoke more paranoia that the federal government is preparing for mass civil unrest that could lead to a declaration of martial law and require lethal force to be used, as it was during Hurricane Katrina when police were ordered to shoot looters.

The Department of Homeland Security has been arming itself to the teeth over the course of the last six months, purchasing ammunition in jaw-dropping quantities.

As we reported last month, following controversy over its purchase of around 1.2 billion bullets in the last six months alone, the DHS has put out a new solicitation for over 200 million more rounds of ammunition, some of which are designated to be used by snipers.
During a recent appearance on the StarTalk Radio Show, Real Time host Bill Maher expressed his desire to see more people killed via abortion, suicides and the death penalty because “the planet is too crowded and we need to promote death.”

 Asked by host Neil deGrasse Tyson what he thought about the death penalty and abortion, Maher re-affirmed his support for both, remarking, “The Pope is consistently pro-life, I am consistently pro-death.”

Expressing how he was pro-death penalty, Maher said, “my motto is let’s kill the right people.”

“I’m pro-choice, I’m for assisted suicide, I’m for regular suicide, I’m for whatever gets the freeway moving – that’s what I’m for,” said Maher, adding, “It’s too crowded, the planet is too crowded and we need to promote death.”

Explaining how he knew many people who felt the same, Maher went on to say that “people who’ve earned it” deserved to die, remarking, “kill the right people.”

The HBO host emphasized how he disagreed with the notion that all life is precious, referring to an argument made by Rick Santorum that prenatal testing should not be covered by the government because it encourages people to have abortions.

“I don’t think there’s anything wrong with that,” said Maher, expressing his support for abortion and noting, “It’s not that hard to create life, it’s teeming everywhere, it’s something a dog can do.”

Maher’s eugenicist views are shared by many liberals and others who consider themselves to be part of the elite.

As we highlighted in a separate article today, a biotech scientist who works for a well known company recently contacted health blogger Anthony Gucciardi to express his joy at how genetically modified food causes infertility.

“If this **** causes infertility... Awesome!!” wrote the scientist, adding, “The world is over-populated, and people need to stop having children. This is one of earth’s largest problems....This is why GMO is actually saving the planet.”

Maher’s overpopulation schtick is not only highly offensive – it is completely inaccurate.

The UN Population Division’s own figures show that by 2020, that population is set to stabilize in 2020 and then drop dramatically after 2050 and indeed that underpopulation is going to be the real long term issue. As the Economist reported, “Fertility is falling and families are shrinking in places—such as Brazil, Indonesia, and even parts of India—that people think of as teeming with children. As our briefing shows, the fertility rate of half the world is now 2.1 or less—the magic number that is consistent with a stable population and is usually called “the replacement rate of fertility”. Sometime between 2020 and 2050 the world’s fertility rate will fall below the global replacement rate.”

Meanwhile, in countries like Russia, the government is having to incentivise and beg its citizens to have children in a desperate effort to head off a “frightening” population decline.
A controversial video of an undercover investigation into the presence of GMO foods at the "organic" grocery leader Whole Foods Market has been pulled from YouTube just days after its release sparked a reaction throughout the health conscious blogosphere and alternative media.

A 'sting' video released by Organic Spies featuring covert footage alleges that Whole Foods was deceiving its customers by touting organic foods and a corporate ideal set against GMOs while simultaneously selling a large portion of products that contain widely prevalent GMO ingredients. On-camera statements of numerous Whole Foods employees in the Los Angeles area underscore the fact that its employees are ignorant of the presence of GMO foods on store shelves, leaving the average customer even more so in the dark.

Now that original video, which had well over 100,000 views, was removed from YouTube, due to alleged violations of its Terms of Service. Typically, this indicates a 3rd party complaint, though YouTube no longer automatically informs users of the identities of parties who make claims against videos, so the role of Whole Foods here is not clear.

However, Whole Foods officially responded to the video in their blog, admitting that some products in their store indeed contain GMO ingredients. It promises, therefore, to better inform their employees of this fact:

"The YouTube video showing our store Team Members giving conflicting responses to a question about GMOs reminds us that while we try to keep all our 70,000 Team Members up-to-speed on the latest information, clearly we need to do more. Some products in our stores DO contain GMOs – just like any other food store in the country, due to the pervasiveness of GMOs..."

Whole Foods also emphasized their support for California’s Prop 37, which would mandate the labeling of GMOs, after coming under criticism for not donating financially to the ballot measure to counter the heavy lobbying against the measure by the biotech industry and their allies.

Whole Foods states that their official policy does not allow financial backing for such political measures, yet its top executives have donated to numerous telling campaigns. So what gives?

Co-founder and CEO John Mackey donated to Mitt Romney, who helped promote Monsanto’s entry into biotechnology, while co-CEO Walter Robb donated to Barack Obama, who has appointed numerous Monsanto lobbyists to his administration, as well as Christie Vilsack, the wife of USDA chief Tom Vilsack, who as an Iowa politician, has bent over backward for biotech, GMOs, cloning and even the open-air growing of pharmaceutical crops. As head of agriculture, Vilsack served as a go-between to negotiate acceptance of GM alfalfa (a major food crop for livestock), meeting with Monsanto and top emissaries of the organic market, namely Whole Foods Market, Stonyfield Farm and Organic Valley. The perceived caving of these organic players has drawn serious criticism throughout the Internet.

Evidence that major advocates for organic foods are ultimately serving the interests of genetic engineering is sad, particularly at a time when Monsanto and other biotech giants threaten to saturate the world market with dangerous GMO foods that remain unlabeled and yet have been found to contribute to cancerous tumors and other devastating health effects in lab rats fed Monsanto’s GM corn.
TO FOE

GMO FOOD BANNED IN MONSANTO CANTEEN
Monsanto, the biggest promoter of genetically modified food, put its power to rest in its month when it was revealed that it has a staff canteen in which GMO products are banned.

MITT ROMNEY SECRETLY EATS ORGANIC
With their, Monsanto donated to him back in the 70s, Mitt Romney's connection to genetically modified food is revealed.

MAINSTREAM MEDIA CALLED OUT FOR GMO HEALTH RISKS BLACKOUT
Release of first report last year, GMO study sparked worldwide controversy to all things GMO but the Times, The Guardian, The Independent, BBC and many more media giants remain silent.

NO GMOS ON THE WHITE HOUSE MENU
Despite Obama's 2007 campaign rhetoric to support the right to know what's in one's food and his backing of the White House menu, the president continues to side with Monsanto.
Following the Federal Open Market Committee’s September 13 announcement that the Federal Reserve will engage in another round of quantitative easing – this time with open-ended mortgage-bond buying at the rate of $40 billion per month, indefinitely – comments on the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco’s Facebook page were decidedly negative.

“Support for the Fed’s move didn’t even crack the top 10 most popular reactions, though online commenters can often be a grumpy bunch,” a dismissive Wall Street Journal said.

In addition to calls for Bernanke’s head, one post underscored what an unfettered expansionary monetary policy really means: “Thanks for $5 gas,” it said sarcastically.

Economic blogger Michael Snyder puts it succinctly. “The Federal Reserve system is designed to have the U.S. money supply expand indefinitely,” he writes. “Every time more money comes into existence, the dollars that you and I are already holding become less valuable because now there are more dollars chasing the same amount of goods and services.”

It’s called inflation. And it is going through the roof, although the government, with its cooked books, insists it is under control. “Right now, the U.S. government says that the annual rate of inflation is somewhere close to 2 percent. Those of you that have to buy food and gas on a regular basis realize how much of a joke that is,” notes Snyder.

“Most of us have been living in an inflationary environment for so long that we have come to accept it as normal.”

In February, as the inflation rate continued to inch up, Rep. Ron Paul told the chairman of the Federal Reserve, Ben Bernanke, during a House Financial Services Committee hearing that “nobody believes” the “argument that the prices are going up about 2 percent. People on fixed incomes, they’re really hurting. The middle class is really hurting because their inflation rate is very much higher than the government tries to tell them, and that’s why they lose trust in government.”

Despite sticker shock at the grocery store, the Federal Reserve and the government insist food prices only rose 0.2 percent in September. Establishment economists say not to worry because core trends suggest mounting inflation is not a worry.

Central bankers in China, Russia and Brazil beg to differ. Russian Finance Minister Anton Siluanov told reporters in Tokyo October 14 that “excess liquidity” – in other words, cash in central banks exceeding the required amount – will eventually produce disastrous inflation.

“Everything is getting done, from my perspective, blindly, without regard to the consequences it could have,” said Siluanov.

Meanwhile, People’s Bank of China Governor Zhou Xiaochuan warned against flooding markets with new money and instead advised central banks to work on reducing inflation in the long run.

Fed boss Ben Bernanke defended the policy. He stated that inflation in the United States is projected to remain below the Federal Reserve’s 2 percent goal for the next few years. He also admitted that the latest QE scheme – described contemptuously by some as QE-infinity – is “no panacea” and the plan is basically a public relations stunt designed to make people think the privately-owned banking cartel is actually doing something positive.

Even Donald Trump, the establishment stalwart who fancies himself a pariah, warned that $40 billion in mortgage securities pumped into the system each and every month for the foreseeable future will create “phony numbers” and “will not ultimately benefit the economy.” Trump told CNBC in September the “dollar will go down in value and inflation will start rearing its ugly head.”

This “temporary sensation of prosperity,” as Wayne Laugesen describes it, will ultimately lead to an economic catastrophe. “Any further quantitative easing from the Fed, in whatever form, will only make our next economic crash that much more serious,” Ron Paul said after the Federal Reserve’s announcement.

“No one is surprised by the Fed’s action today to
inject even more money into the economy through additional asset purchases. The Fed's only solution for every problem is to print more money and provide more liquidity. Mr. Bernanke and Fed governors appear not to understand that our current economic malaise resulted directly because of the excessive credit the Fed already pumped into the system,” Paul said.

“I think the country should have panicked over what the Fed is saying: that we have lost control, and the only thing we have left is massively creating new money out of thin air, which has not worked before, and is not going to work this time,” Paul added.

Prior to the latest round of QE, Rep. Paul warned that the actions of the privately held banker cartel masquerading as a government agency will lead to a devaluation of the dollar and an economic collapse. During a House Financial Services Committee hearing in July with Ben Bernanke, prior to announcement of QE-infinity, Paul, in response to the Fed's earlier expansion of the monetary base, said a “correction” is right around the corner, and it will not be pretty.

The government knows a collapse is inevitable and is planning for it. In August, U.S. regulators instructed five of the nation's largest banks, including Bank of America Corp. and Goldman Sachs Group, to prepare. According to Reuters, the formerly secret plan calls for mega-banks to draft “recovery plans” in the event of an economic collapse.

“Revelations of this order coincided with several well-respected economists declaring that a major economic collapse was inevitable, and could come within months,” Kenneth Schortgen Jr. reported in August.

As the economy began to unravel in 2008, The Washington Post reported on plans to station 20,000 troops inside the United States for purposes of “domestic security.” They will “be called upon to help with civil unrest and crowd control,” according to Gina Cavallaro, reporting for the Army Times.

“In late September 2008, at the height of the financial meltdown, the Army Times announced the redeployment of an active Brigade Army team from Iraq to America, in a new mission that may become a permanent part of the active Army,” writes former Canadian diplomat Peter Dale Scott.

“The potential for economic collapse, civil unrest, revolution, and societal collapse are increasing exponentially across the West, and in other global economies. Since the credit crisis of 2008, several nations have removed longstanding civilian protections from military policing of domestic events,” writes Schortgen.

In 2011, trends forecaster Gerald Celente warned that an unavoidable economic collapse will produce social chaos and that the government will respond by imposing martial law. “As the bankers take over, and we’re seeing what’s going on, they are throwing out democratically elected governments. We are forecasting there is going to be a severe decline in 2012, particularly in Europe” that will result in martial law, Celente told Lew Rockwell in December.

Europe and America are now closing in on the final phase before a full-blown financial crisis takes out the underpinnings of the global economy – a desperate bid by central banks and the Federal Reserve to cobble together schemes allowing unlimited bond purchases and open-ended mortgage-bond buying.

“I will admit that I am extremely concerned about the second half of 2012. Historically, a financial crisis is much more likely to begin in the fall than during any other season of the year,” writes Michael Snyder. “The ingredients for a ‘perfect storm’ are slowly coming together, and in the months ahead we could very well see the next wave of the economic collapse strike.”

Kurt Nimmo is the editor at www.infowars.com and the author of “Another Day in the Empire: Life in Neoconservative America.”
The somewhat-recent innovation of 3D printing stoked a revolutionary idea in the mind of a University of Texas law student. Instead of using the technology to print mundane objects and useless trinkets, he saw 3D printing as a prosperous advantage to the average person, a tremendous boon for anyone who has ever wanted to produce a homemade firearm. However, Second Amendment fears on behalf of two corporations have twice-attempted to squelch the idea.

3D Printing And Defense Distributed

If you’re unfamiliar with 3D printing, you’re not alone. A vast number of people don’t realize that desktop 3D printers, able to produce replicas of nearly anything – tools, utensils, medical equipment, etc. – actually exist, and some are cheap too, with one of the most popular desktop 3D printers, the MakerBot, coming in at around $2,200.

3D printing is exactly what it sounds like. You can literally “print” physical 3D objects on an X, Y, and Z axis by heating and melting thermo-set plastics, which then harden into desired shapes. Some printers allow use of a hand-held scanner, similar to grocery store bar code readers, to scan whatever is to be replicated. The printers can also read 3D CAD data files to create just about anything.

Gun enthusiasts quickly envisioned the technology’s potential and rushed to finance research into producing a working 3D firearm. Leading an organization titled Defense Distributed, UT law student Cody Wilson set forth creating a website catered to distributing open-source 3D firearm files for anyone to obtain and ultimately print. Their idea is nothing short of a slap in the face to gun control legislation everywhere.

Earlier this year, Defense Distributed reached its goal of collecting $20,000 through an online fund-raising campaign to fund an operation known as the Wiki Weapon project. Wilson described the project in a video posted to YouTube in July, positing, “So consider this: a CAD file containing the information for a 3D printable weapon system... if that file was seeded by 30 people, let’s say, as long as there’s a free Internet, that file is available to anyone at any time, all over the world. A gun can be anywhere. Any bullet is now a weapon.”

The Hurdles – Donations Shut Down and a Printer Confiscation

To obtain funding, the group set up an Indiegogo.com account; however, the account was shut down within a month for violating the terms of service, which prohibit the sale of “ammunition, firearms, or certain firearm parts or accessories.”

Although Defense Distributed wasn’t selling anything, they were forced to open an alternate donation account on their personal website. “My reading of their terms is we didn’t give anyone the option to sell or buy firearms. The exchange was they give us money, we give them information. That’s the project. Not firearms, not ammunition,” Wilson told Infowars.

The group’s problems didn’t stop there. Next, the company that rented out the uPrint SE 3D printer that was to be used in the concept’s testing and design phase took their equipment back. Stratasys Inc. issued an e-mail less than a week after Wilson received the printer stating they would need to confiscate their equipment prior to it even being used. “They came for it straight up. I didn’t even have it out of the box,” Wilson told Wired.com’s Danger Room.

The e-mail was addressed to Wilson himself and came on behalf of Stratasys’ Legal Counsel, stating: “It is the policy of Stratasys not to knowingly allow its printers to be used for illegal purposes. Therefore, please be advised that your lease of the Stratasys uPrint SE is canceled at this time and Stratasys is making arrangements to pick up the printer.”

“The only protest I had was, ‘Well, legal counsel, our opinion is if these weapons are title 1 weapons, we don’t need registration, we don’t need license, we don’t need anyone’s approval to do this. This is totally legal, this is America,’” Wilson says. “That wasn’t good enough for them, and they e-mailed me back and they said ‘Look, we’re not gonna get into a legal debate about it. We want it back,’ and so fine.”

Stratasys’ legal counsel may very well have been in the wrong. CNET’s Rick Brown explained a Federal Firearms License (FFL) is only needed if you’re planning on selling your services as a gunsmith or planning on selling the manufactured weapons. “If you aim to sell your services as a gunsmith (e.g., “I charge $50 an hour to make a gun”) or you intend to sell the weapon once you’ve made it (e.g., “I charge $500 for this particular gun”), you need to obtain a federal manufacturer’s license [1140].
If the weapon is for personal use, no manufacturing license is required.”

It also matters what type of gun you’re planning on making.

A Title I firearm pertains to ordinary rifles, pistols, revolvers and shotguns. Title II firearms, such as machine guns, short or “sawed-off” shotguns and rifles, are substantially more destructive. Since personal 3D printed firearms manufacture is still in its infancy, actually, in its conception phase, it still falls into a sort of legal gray area and could potentially be interpreted under the Title II classification, which also umbrellas “Any Other Weapons” (AOW), defined as “any weapon or device capable of being concealed on the person from which a shot can be discharged through the energy of an explosive.” Whether the weapons produced are Title I or Title II matters a great deal, as the latter is highly regulated.

Wilson believes Stratasys deliberately misinterpreted and inappropriately used the law to sever their relationship. “So Stratasys is saying and suggesting to other people, ‘Well, they can follow the law like anyone else.’ The suggestion is that we weren’t going to. They’re pretending and asking me who I was. I told them who I was and they mistreated us and they were writing things down quasi-interrogation. They were writing things down and asking me who I was. I told them who I was and what the project was. And I explained 3D printing to them, and so it went from imposing to really friendly.” Ultimately, Wilson took away that he’d have to turn his organization into a legal entity and get licensed. “We’re gonna have to do the license because that’s the world we live in, apparently. And I think that’s unfortunate and I think it’s silly. Maybe it’s not so silly because this is firearms we’re talking about. But look, this speaks to the attitude toward micro-entrepreneurs and the attitude towards ideas in general in this country. You can’t do anything without somebody’s permission. I believe that. You interested in the natural world? You want to do something with chemicals? People are gonna look at you like you’re suspect. Like you’re some kind of drug dealer. You can’t do anything of any consequence with any curiosity in this country without being weighed, measured, forced into some institution or system that ties to register everything. I’ll be in every database from now until the end of time because I was simply curious.”

Wilson adds, “I want to be sure that people know that there are no right answers to this. Is it Title I? Is it Title II?

Well that’s a test case, and I’m not gonna be that guy in front of some article III judge saying, ‘But I thought it was fine!’”

Another hurdle in the near future is the Undetectable Firearms Act which makes it unlawful to manufacture a firearm that is not detectable by a walk-through metal detector or X-ray machine, but Wilson isn’t giving up his secret on how he’s planning to bypass this piece of legislation: “We’ve been pretty public about telling people what we’re doing but I’m not sure I want to give away the legal strategy here. Let’s keep it a secret. Bottom line, we’re gonna follow the law.”

What’s Next for Wiki Weapon Project?

Getting another printer after the seizure won’t be a problem as various people have already contacted Wilson, but he says currently his top priority is taking care of the legal side of things as a preventative effort to any prosecutors who may choose to exercise too much discretion.

The precedent that would be established in offering open-source blueprints for 3D printable guns would definitely be detrimental to any effort geared towards disarming the population. Riding the wave of recent domestic terror incidents, the gun-grabbing lobby is in an all-out frenzy to portray gun owners and guns in general as murderous and contradictory to the good of the people.

Largely ignored, however, is the fact that a population left helpless and dependent on the state and their police is exactly what the founding fathers were trying to prevent in crafting the Second Amendment.

It remains to be seen if a printed gun will actually work, and Wilson admits even he’s a little skeptical. “People say, ‘Well, people didn’t know you could print guns with this technology.’ I don’t know if you can. That’s what this whole thing’s about. We’re willing to try, you know? How’s that so controversial? And it seems the closer I get, the further I am away from trying.”

One thing’s certain: if Defense Distributed succeeds in their efforts, as long as there’s a free Internet and someone has a 3D printer, all you’ll really need is ammo.

Adan Salazar is associate editor for www.infowars.com and www.prisonplanet.com. He is an avid civil rights and due process advocate.
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Early October, the TSA removed from its website all references to the right to film and photograph at checkpoints just hours after it was announced that a national campaign was underway to encourage Americans to opt out and film TSA procedures.

Following a backlash from supporters of the campaign, scheduled to take full effect around Thanksgiving week in November, the approval to film section has now reappeared on the newly designed TSA website. It reads:

TSA does not prohibit the public, passengers or press from photographing, videotaping or filming at security checkpoints, as long as the screening process is not interfered with or slowed down. We do ask you to not film or take pictures of the monitors. While the TSA does not prohibit photographs at screening locations, local laws, state statutes, or local ordinances might.

Taking photographs may also prompt airport police or a TSA official to ask what your purpose is. It is recommended that you contact the TSA Contact Center to contact the Customer Support Manager at the airport to determine its specific policy. Or, if you are a member of the press, you should contact the TSA Office of Public Affairs.

As we reported earlier, when attempting to visit the old URL for photography guidelines, visitors to the TSA website were met with a 404 page.

On its blog, the TSA claims that the disappearance of the photography approval page was only temporary and was due to the agency’s website redesign. "...it has been perceived that we rescinded our photo policy. Our photo policy has not changed and is located here (http://www.tsa.gov/traveler-information/how-get-through-line-faster) as well as on our blog” Blogger Bob Burns notes. It is, of course, at your own discretion whether or not you believe the TSA.

Although the TSA has contradicted its own policy by placing signs at checkpoints ordering people not to film, as well as attempting to intimidate and even accusing people of being terrorists for doing so, filming federal employees or police is not illegal in the United States, as a First Court of Appeals ruling confirmed last year.

"Special Comment From Alex Jones

There is an organized move on behalf of governments and corporations to bully the First Amendment out of existence.

This is why Infowars is pioneering a new civil rights movement that is not based around race, sex or any other minority issue. The fact that our rights are being violated and characterized as suspicious and terrorist threatens the freedom of every individual, no matter from what race, gender or creed they originate.

We are being systematically intimidated out of expressing our right to free speech as part of the advancement towards a new dark age of tyranny.

We are calling on like-minded people to join us in the Opt Out and Film campaign, with the understanding that you will, in all likelihood, face official oppression for doing so.

The TSA is a federal agency stuffed full of liars, thieves and petty tyrants who like to harass and terrorize innocent Americans who refuse to comply with degrading treatment and who refuse to partake in obedience training.

The risk of being harassed or even arrested for legally exercising your First Amendment right is significant. However, the risk of not exercising this right is far greater. The risk of not exposing tyranny and allowing it to breed is unthinkable.

Use it or lose it. The First Amendment is under attack across the board and unless we re-assert our right to speak up when our basic human dignity is being eviscerated by uniformed goons on a daily basis, not only at airports but also subways, highways, political events, music concerts and even high school prom nights, we can kiss our liberty goodbye.
The truth behind Obama Phone! The viral video sensation did indeed have some truth behind it. While there is no actual Obama phone, The Lifeline program, managed by the FCC, offset landline costs and funded cell phone service for low-income individuals. Though the program was initiated in 1985, the program's costs have ballooned to $1.75 billion per year as of 2011. Funded by the Universal Service Fund charge on phone bills, program growth would cost everyone that actually pays for their phone service. The FCC announced recent reforms, primarily by screening out the fraudulent duplicate applications, have saved more than $43 million since January and are expected to total $200 million in savings by the years end, still a nominal improvement in another example of run-away government spending.

NEW YORK: Pythias Brown was found guilty of stealing close to $1 million worth of passenger belongings, a crime also committed by about 400 other TSA officers in just 9 years.

44% OF AMERICANS support the idea of police using unmanned aerial vehicles to track suspects and carry out investigations

36% SAY they “strongly oppose” or “somewhat oppose” police use of drones.

36% “extremely concerned”

24% “somewhat concerned”

35% “not too concerned”

when asked if they believed that police departments’ use of drones for surveillance would impact their privacy

the FAA predicts will be somewhere in the region of

30,000 DRONES in operation in US skies by 2020
RIP USA
During the second half of the 20th century, the United States was an opportunity society. The ladders of upward mobility were plentiful, and the middle class expanded. Incomes rose, and ordinary people were able to achieve old-age security.

In the 21st century the opportunity society has disappeared. Middle class jobs are scarce. Indeed, jobs of any kind are scarce. To stay even with population growth from 2002 through 2011, the economy needed about 14 million new jobs. However, at the end of 2011 there were only 1 million more jobs than in 2002. http://www.bls.gov/webapps/legacy/cesstbl1.htm

Only 426,000 of these jobs are in the private sector. The bulk of the new net jobs consist of waitresses and bartenders and health care and social assistance. According to the Bureau of Labor Statistics, over the 9 years, employment for waitresses and bartenders increased by 1.2 million. Employment in health care and social assistance increased 3.1 million. These two categories accounted for 1,000 percent of the net private sector job growth.

As for manufacturing jobs, they not only did not grow with the population but declined absolutely. During these 9 years, 3.5 million middle class manufacturing jobs were lost.

Over the entire 9 years, only 48,000 new jobs were created for architects and engineers.

In the 21st century the U.S. economy has been able to create only a few new jobs and these are in lowly paid domestic services that cannot be offshored, such as waitresses and bartenders.

The lack of jobs, especially high value-added, high productivity jobs, is the reason real median household income has declined and the distribution of income has worsened. Without rising real household income, there cannot be a consumer economy.

In the early years of the 21st century, the Federal Reserve substituted a rise in consumer debt to drive the economy in place of the missing rise in consumer incomes. Low interest rates drove up housing prices, and people refinanced their mortgages and spent the equity. The Federal Reserve kept the economy alive by loading up consumers with debt that housing prices and consumer incomes would soon be unable to support.

When debt and real estate prices reached unsustainable levels, the bubble popped, and the ongoing financial crisis was upon us.

The cause of all of the problems is the offshoring of Americans’ jobs. When jobs are moved offshore, consumers’ careers and incomes, and the GDP, payroll and income tax base associated with those jobs, go with them. When the goods and services produced for American markets by offshored labor are brought into the U.S. to be sold, the trade deficit rises, and downward pressure is put on the dollar, pushing up domestic inflation. (On October 12, statistician John Williams of shadowstats.com reported that “third-quarter wholesale inflation jumped to an annualized 6.2 percent”).

Jobs offshoring is driven by Wall Street, “shareholder advocates,” the threat of takeovers, and by large retailers, such as Walmart. By cutting labor costs, profits go up. It is that simple. However, as a result of sending American jobs to cheap labor countries, U.S. consumer incomes go down. The end result is to destroy the domestic consumer market. What would have been U.S. consumer income growth instead becomes profit growth for U.S. corporations.

In their textbooks, Keynesian economists use the example of how the aggregate effect of individual saving could be the opposite of the effect intended by the individuals. Whereas each saver seeks to improve his position by building wealth, saving could exceed investment in the aggregate, resulting in a decline in aggregate demand and a fall in income for all. Offshoring has the same logic: Each corporation can expect to gain more profits from moving U.S. jobs offshore, but the aggregate effect is a fall in American consumer incomes and a reduction in the American consumer market.

I have told this story many times. But policymakers, the media and economists seem unable to connect the dots.

Job offshoring has substantial implications for Social Security and Medicare. The U.S. has the least adequate social safety net of any developed country. The two major components of the U.S. social safety net are Social Security and Medicare for the elderly. Social Security and Medicare are financed by a payroll tax. The combined tax is 15.3 percent of payrolls. For the past quarter of a century the Social Security portion of the payroll tax has built up a surplus of over $2 trillion. Recently, the Medicare portion began running in the red.

Right-wing Republicans, free-market ideologues and the left wing have all indoctrinated themselves with incorrect beliefs about Social Security and Medicare. The right wing claims that a safety net financed with 15.3 percent of payrolls is a “Ponzi scheme” and an “unfunded liability.” If that is the case, then so are veterans’ benefits, military pensions and federal pensions, all of which are financed by the income tax, the basis for the payroll tax.

The left wing claims that the rich do not pay high enough payroll taxes, because the income subject to Social Security payroll tax is capped at about $110,000. But the benefits are also capped. Social Security is not supposed to be an income redistribution scheme from rich to poor, and it is not supposed to be a pension system for the rich. The pension paid is supposed to correlate with the pre-retirement income level of the retiree. Those who had higher wages or salaries,
and consequently paid more in payroll taxes, receive a larger Social Security check than those who had lower wages and salaries, and paid less payroll taxes, although there is favoritism toward the lower income earners who receive proportionally more in respect to their payroll taxes than higher income earners.

There is no cap on income subject to the Medicare portion of the payroll tax. Moreover, Medicare charges a Medicare Part B premium that is deducted from the Social Security monthly check. In addition, there is a further Part B premium based on retirement-age income. For example, someone working beyond retirement age and making $250,000 per year pays about $3,800 in Medicare Part B premium in addition to the Medicare portion of the payroll tax of about $7,500. The annual premium he pays for his “free” Medicare for which he has paid all his working life with a payroll tax is about $11,300.

Moreover, Medicare by itself is insufficient coverage. To actually have medical coverage, those covered by Medicare have to purchase a supplementary private policy to cover the large gaps in Medicare. Depending on the range of coverage, a supplementary policy costs approximately $100 to $300 per month.

As the person making $250,000 per year is likely to go for the most coverage, he will be paying about $14,900 (excluding deductions and co-payments) per year for his “free” Medicare. This is despite having paid the Medicare payroll tax each year of his working life. A person who made $250,000 in taxable income per year for 30 years would have paid $217,500 into Medicare at the current Medicare payroll tax rate.

The right wing’s notion that Social Security and Medicare are handouts, part of the welfare state’s bread and circuses, and the left wing’s idea that the rich get a free ride are equally untrue.

(Note: $250,000 is the politicians’ dividing line between the rich and the rest of us. For a person making $50,000 a year, an income five times larger can seem rich. However, a $250,000 annual income leaves a family or person far distant from the lifestyle of the rich. Upper middle class incomes are generally associated with high-tax, high-cost urban areas in states with high income taxes. After federal income and payroll taxes, state income and sales taxes, and property taxes, what appears to many as a large income disappears. In New York City, the federal income tax will take about 25 percent of the $250,000, New York state will take about nine percent, and New York City will take about 3.65 percent. The combined city and state sales tax is 8.875 percent. The property tax is high. The conclusion is that, in New York City, a $250,000 income is reduced to $125,000 or therabouts. Those who claim “the rich don’t pay taxes” are not talking about $250,000 incomes.)

Social Security and Medicare have served the country well. They protect the individual from his own mistakes, from crooked and incompetent money managers, and from financial crises, and they protect society from the moral dilemma of confronting large numbers of fellow citizens who through fault or no fault of their own cannot provide for their livelihood and medical care. After the financial scandals and crisis of the past five years, it is a stretch to believe that any but the astute can manage their personal wealth, whether small or large, in today’s situation of unregulated financial markets, zero interest rates, currency uncertainty, and highly complex investment instruments with computers programmed with mathematical models dominating equity trades.

The argument that conceptually a person could do better by investing his payroll taxes in the stock market is a poor basis for old age security policy. The person can do better as long as he or she doesn’t fall into the hands of a Bernie Madoff or a Goldman Sachs, doesn’t receive zero interest on his bonds because the Federal Reserve has to bail out the “too big to fail banks,” doesn’t experience a decline in currency value due to monetization of enormous federal deficits, and doesn’t experience a bear market as he approaches retirement.

The right wing ideologues who try to scare old age security out of existence go on and on about rising medical costs, about an aging population living longer, declining birthrates and a worsening ratio of workers to retirees, about people learning to rely on handouts rather than their own means, and about Washington’s rising unfunded liabilities.

Scare projections are designed to scare, and most are untenable. For example, longevity was a product of rising incomes, good diet and antibiotics. Today only the upper crust have rising incomes. Antibiotics are wearing out from abuse and rising immunity of bacteria. Diet is compromised in ways still poorly understood as a result of GMOs, pesticides, herbicides, pumping chicken, pork, and beef full of antibiotics and hormones; feeding the animals GMO grains and also possibly infected animal byproducts; and pumping our water full of fluoride. A variety of destructive activities and behaviors are causing ecological damage. Longevity might have been a short-term benefit of rising unfunded liabilities.

The projection of an aging population might also be wrong. Clearly, the post-War World II baby boomers are aging, but do the projections take into account the legislated 1965 immigration increases plus the illegal influx of young people with high birth rates from Mexico and points south? How can it be that a country with allegedly 30 million illegal immigrants, whose children born in the U.S. are citizens, has a declining birth rate? How do we know that the illegal population will not continue to increase?

There are so many Spanish speaking people in the U.S. today that if a person calls any of his utility companies, whether telephone, Internet, water, electricity, TV, or any of his credit card companies, or his bank, he has to select English or Spanish. Obviously, as anti-immigration sites make clear, the U.S. population is changing in its national origin, and there appears to be no sign of an aging Hispanic population. How many old Spanish speaking people do you see in the U.S. compared to the young?

When confronted with this apparent fact, the response is: “Why will Hispanic Americans pay for the aging white population?” The answer is: because they are in the same payroll tax system and the taxes will be withheld from their wages and salaries just as they are from everyone else’s.

It is possible that if Hispanics in the U.S. have suffered years of hostility, accusations, and hatred from “the ice people,” once Hispanics are sufficiently numerous to control the legislature (assuming one still exists), to take over the executive branch, the only seat a power, they may in retribution cut off the aging whites. But if so, the whites will have brought it on themselves.

Whatever the scare projections that are mustered to undermine the public provision of old age security, the real financial danger is never mentioned. The only significant financial danger to Social Security and Medicare is the offshoring of American jobs and GDP. A country without a job base is without a payroll tax base. If the only jobs that the 21st century “world’s only superpower” economy can create are for waitresses, bartenders, and health care and social assistance (hospital orderlies and practical nurses), payroll tax revenues will be less than if the U.S. still had 20 million workers and rising in well-paid manufacturing jobs instead of 11 million.

Regardless of Medicare’s financing, the death knell for the elderly was the legality of abortion. If the yet to be born are an insufferable burden, imagine the cost of the elderly. As far as the state is concerned, once you stop producing income and payroll tax revenues for the state, it is time for you to die. Washington would rather enact euthanasia than pay back the $2+ trillion in the Social Security trust fund that it spent, leaving only non-marketable IOUs in the account.

Readers might think that Americans would never stand for death by injection for the elderly once the qualified age is reached. But why would they not? They have accepted millions of aborted babies, and Americans (including the elderly) have stood for Washington’s murder, maiming and displacement of millions of Muslim men, women, and children in seven countries over the past 11 years and are yet to show any signs of remorse for their complicity in mass murder. Next month tens of millions of Americans will vote for Mitt Romney who believes Obama isn’t killing Muslims fast enough.

The new “Obamneycare” health legislation does have “death panels.” They are not called that, and they do not make formal decisions to terminate lives, but it comes to almost the same thing. Various panels, committees, or bureaucratic departments are empowered to make decisions about “effective care.” It has long been
known that most healthcare costs are associated with the last year of life. Cost and age will be elements in determining standards of care. The greater the weight assigned to cost, the more care will be withheld. In effect, the “effective care” panel is a “death panel.”

Prior to the advent of the new “healthcare” system, Medicare and/or hospitals are already shifting costs to Medicare patients. To avoid penalties and fraud allegations for “medically unnecessary hospitalizations,” rather than formally admit Medicare patients as inpatients, hospital administrators classify them as outpatients “under observation.”

According to a Brown University analysis of Medicare records in 2007, 2008 and 2009, the ratio of Medicare observation patients to those admitted as inpatients rose by 34 percent. Being classified an outpatient under observation eliminates medicare coverages, especially for post-operative or post-accident rehabilitation care, leaving Medicare patients with bills in the tens of thousands of dollars (AARP Bulletin, October 2012).

Other costs are being shifted to doctors and hospitals. Medicare pays fixed prices for each covered procedure or test, and these prices can be as low as half of the billed prices. During a period when costs incurred by providers of health care have been rising, Medicare has been cutting the

None of these promises or predictions were true. Nowhere in the government’s jobs statistics are there any of these promised replacement jobs. The economists who provided cover for the destruction of the U.S. economy were rewarded by the corporations with speaking fees, grants for their university departments, and newspaper columns paid for by corporate advertisers. Those few who told the truth were expelled from the corporate media that Bill and Hillary Clinton allowed to be monopolized (for campaign contributions, of course).

The future of old age security in the United States has been lost, because the job base has been given away to foreigners in order to maximize incomes in the short-run for the few decision-makers.

The misrepresentation of jobs offshoring as free trade has destroyed the prospects of cities, counties, and states along with those of unions and millions of Americans who once had a secure future. It has destroyed the prospects of class after class of university graduates burdened with student loans who expected to step into the jobs that have been offshored or filled by H-1B visa holders from abroad.

The American work force has been forsaken by the corporations and by Washington, and this means that Social Security and Medicare have also been forsaken. As I predicted in the early years of this new century, “the United States will be a third world country in 20 years.” We might get there even sooner as Washington exhausts what little is left of American wealth in gratuitous wars in service to Israel and the U.S. Military/Security Complex, in unaffordable military buildups in futile hopes of establishing hegemony over China and Russia, and in negative interest rates from the Federal Reserve’s effort to drive up the book value of debt instruments on the balance sheets of financial institutions. In 1817, Percy Bysshe Shelly forecast America’s future:

I met a traveler from an antique land
Who said: “Two vast and trunkless legs of stone
Stand in the desert. Near them, on the sand,
Half sunk, a shattered visage lies, whose frown,
And wrinkled lip and sneer of cold command,
Tell that its sculptor well those passions read,
Which yet survive, stampt on these lifeless things,
Whose frown,
And wrinkled lip and sneer of cold command,
Tell that its sculptor well those passions read,
Which yet survive, stampt on these lifeless things,
Whose frown,
And wrinkled lip and sneer of cold command,
Tell that its sculptor well those passions read,
Which yet survive, stampt on these lifeless things,
Whose frown,
And wrinkled lip and sneer of cold command,
Tell that its sculptor well those passions read,
Which yet survive, stampt on these lifeless things,
Whose frown,
And wrinkled lip and sneer of cold command,
Tell that its sculptor well those passions read,
Which yet survive, stampt on these lifeless things,
Whose frown,
And wrinkled lip and sneer of cold command,
Tell that its sculptor well those passions read,
Which yet survive, stampt on these lifeless things,
Whose frown,
And wrinkled lip and sneer of cold command,
Tell that its sculptor well those passions read,
Which yet survive, stampt on these lifeless things,
Whose frown,
And wrinkled lip and sneer of cold command,
Tell that its sculptor well those passions read,
Which yet survive, stampt on these lifeless things,
Whose frown,
And wrinkled lip and sneer of cold command,
Tell that its sculptor well those passions read,
Which yet survive, stampt on these lifeless things,
Whose frown,
And wrinkled lip and sneer of cold command,
Tell that its sculptor well those passions read,
Which yet survive, stampt on these lifeless things,
Whose frown,
And wrinkled lip and sneer of cold command,
Tell that its sculptor well those passions read,
Which yet survive, stampt on these lifeless things,
Whose frown,
And wrinkled lip and sneer of cold command,
Tell that its sculptor well those passions read,
Which yet survive, stampt on these lifeless things,
Whose frown,
And wrinkled lip and sneer of cold command,
Tell that its sculptor well those passions read,
Which yet survive, stampt on these lifeless things,
Whose frown,
And wrinkled lip and sneer of cold command,
Tell that its sculptor well those passions read,
Which yet survive, stampt on these lifeless things,
Whose frown,
And wrinkled lip and sneer of cold command,
Tell that its sculptor well those passions read,
Which yet survive, stampt on these lifeless things,
Whose frown,
And wrinkled lip and sneer of cold command,
Tell that its sculptor well those passions read,
Which yet survive, stampt on these lifeless things,
Whose frown,
And wrinkled lip and sneer of cold command,
Tell that its sculptor well those passions read,
Which yet survive, stampt on these lifeless things,
Whose frown,
And wrinkled lip and sneer of cold command,
Tell that its sculptor well those passions read,
Which yet survive, stampt on these lifeless things,
Whose frown,
And wrinkled lip and sneer of cold command,
Tell that its sculptor well those passions read,
Which yet survive, stampt on these lifeless things,
Whose frown,
And wrinkled lip and sneer of cold command,
Tell that its sculptor well those passions read,
Which yet survive, stampt on these lifeless things,
Whose frown,
And wrinkled lip and sneer of cold command,
Tell that its sculptor well those passions read,
Which yet survive, stampt on these lifeless things,
Whose frown,
And wrinkled lip and sneer of cold command,
Tell that its sculptor well those passions read,
Which yet survive, stampt on these lifeless things,
Whose frown,
And wrinkled lip and sneer of cold command,
Tell that its sculptor well those passions read,
Which yet survive, stampt on these lifeless things,
Whose frown,
And wrinkled lip and sneer of cold command,
Tell that its sculptor well those passions read,
Which yet survive, stampt on these lifeless things,
Whose frown,
And wrinkled lip and sneer of cold command,
Tell that its sculptor well those passions read,
Which yet survive, stampt on these lifeless things,
Whose frown,
And wrinkled lip and sneer of cold command,
this for a moment. Perry's graph on which Walsh relies shows the sharp drop in U.S. manufacturing employment to be a 21st century experience. However, automation has been around for a long time. The notion that its effect on employment only showed up recently needs an explanation that is not provided. The steep drop in U.S. manufacturing employment that began in 2000 does correspond with the date at which jobs offshoring began to bite hard.

Why does automation not also affect Chinese manufacturing, especially as most of the Chinese manufacturing technology came from the U.S. as U.S. corporations offshored their production for the U.S. market? If Chinese manufacturing is not up-to-date with automation, like the U.S. is assumed to be, how do the Chinese, even with cheap labor, undersell U.S. automated factories? How did Chinese manufacturing employment increase in a mere four years by an amount equal to the total manufacturing employment in the U.S.?


In contrast, China, an industrial and manufacturing backwater for most of my life, had 112 million manufacturing jobs in 2006. In a mere four years (2002-2006), the increase in China's manufacturing employment was as large as today's total employment in U.S. manufacturing. As long ago as 2006, China's manufacturing employment was about 10 times the current U.S. manufacturing employment. The Chinese population is about four times larger than the U.S. population, but China's manufacturing population is proportionately greater — 10 times larger. Indeed, Chinese manufacturing employees almost equal the total number of employees in all occupations in the U.S. (Manufacturing and Technology News, December 15, 2009).

Obviously, something is wrong with Walsh’s article or the graphs on which he relied.

America’s manufacturing prowess cannot be found in the statistical data. The U.S. is primarily an exporter of agricultural commodities. The U.S. imports almost twice the amount of manufactured goods as it exports. Indeed, according to the U.S. Census Bureau Statistical Abstract of the U.S. (http://www.census.gov/compendia/statatab/2012/tables/12s1308.pdf), U.S. imports of manufactured goods are 5.5 times larger than U.S. imports of crude oil and four times larger than all imports of mineral fuel. Yet, we hear about energy dependency, not manufacturing dependency.

As of 2010, the “superpower” U.S. economy still had a trade surplus in airplanes and airplane parts and a small $6 billion surplus in scientific instruments, but that is about all.

In ADP equipment and office machinery, the U.S. exported $22.2 billion in 2010 (latest information at time of writing), down from $44.6 billion in 2000. U.S. imports in 2010 of ADP equipment and office machinery were $113.5 billion, or 5.1 times exports. The U.S. cannot even make its own clothes and shoes. In 2010 footwear imports were 28.7 times exports. Clothing imports are 24.6 times exports.

Electrical machinery exports were $77 billion; imports were $120 billion. Exports of power generating machinery were $33 billion; imports were $42 billion.

Exports of television, VCR's were $21.5 billion; imports were $137 billion. U.S. exports of vehicles was $88 billion; imports were $179 billion.

U.S. news reports thousands upon thousands of discharged U.S. workers never cite their replacement by automation. The news story is always that the plant is being closed and the jobs moved abroad. Any review of America’s former manufacturing centers verifies this. Boarded up plants and cities and towns in decline are the remains of America’s formerly world dominant manufacturing economy.

The loss of the U.S. post-war trade surplus in manufacturing has left the U.S. with a huge trade deficit. The charts on which Walsh relied left him unaware of the fact that China has a large trade surplus with the U.S., and the U.S. has a large trade deficit not only with China but with the world.

The fact that the U.S. has to import not only manufactured goods, but also high-technology products from China, an inconceivable outcome during the second half of the 20th century, is powerful testimony to the decline of the U.S. as a manufacturing powerhouse.

It took some doing to obscure the facts and to present the U.S. as a rival to China in manufacturing prowess. How did it happen?

The fault might lie in the way statistical information is collected and presented. Apple, for example, is a U.S. corporation. It reports its worldwide earnings to the IRS. Its manufacturing is counted as U.S. manufacturing as it is a U.S. corporation. However, Apple doesn’t produce a single computer in the U.S. They are produced in China. The employment that Apple reports is in China. The Chinese are employed by an American company, but they are not Americans. The Chinese incomes that Apple provides do not support the American consumer market or provide the tax base for cities and states. The Chinese incomes do not provide ladders of upward mobility or careers for Americans.

The wages Apple pays are in China. The consumer incomes and GDP that it generates are in China. When Apple’s computers come back to America to be sold they come in as imports. But Apple’s manufacturing and employment are reported as the output and employment of an American company.

When statistics and the methods by which they are compiled were put into effect, countries did not offshore their production for their domestic markets. Foreign investments were made for selling abroad, not for selling in the home market. With the advent of offshoring, counting the employment and output of U.S. firms that are producing abroad for their domestic market as an indication of the strength of U.S. manufacturing is very misleading. Apple, for example, has done more to boost China’s GDP than to boost America’s GDP. This is true of every U.S. corporation that offshores its production for U.S. consumers.

In recent years the percentage of the work forces of large U.S. corporations that is foreign sourced has risen rapidly. Some of the overseas hiring reflects traditional foreign investment in which a company builds abroad in order to sell abroad, but much of the hiring reflects offshored production for U.S. markets.

The U.S. has been able to survive the large trade deficits produced by jobs offshoring because the U.S. dollar is the world reserve currency. Being the world reserve currency, the U.S. does not have to earn foreign currencies with exports in order to pay for its imports. However, as these trade deficits persist and the buildup of foreign holdings of dollar paper assets rises, there is a diminishing willingness of foreigners to trade real goods and services for financial assets denominated in a fiat currency whose value is diminishing with the ever-growing supply.

Thus, the basic notion of globalization — that a country’s corporations can produce goods and services in any country for home markets — is false.

Walsh is correct that China is not to blame for the decline in U.S. manufacturing. Offshoring is to blame, and, thus, the blame lies with U.S. corporations, policymakers, and the economists and financial media who shill for “globalism.” The decision was made to sacrifice the U.S. economy to the short-term profits of the few. A country so poorly led can do nothing but decline.

Paul Craig Roberts was assistant secretary of the treasury for economic policy, associate editor of the Wall Street Journal, renowned columnist for Business Week, Scripps Howard and Creators Syndicate and has had many university appointments. His articles are available on his website www.paulcraigroberts.org.
The word “collectivism” sounds old-fashioned today. It’s supposed to.

It’s supposed to sound like a label from a bygone age when people were combing U.S. government offices for hidden Soviet spies.

Collectivism is tied to other obsolete slogans like “better dead than red” and “America, love it or leave it.” In other words, we’re supposed to think collectivism was simply a trendy idea that ran out of steam. You know, a bunch of crazy paranoids were scrambling around warning everybody the sky was falling, but it wasn’t. They yelled, “Collectivism is coming, watch out!” but nothing happened.

Well, the truth is, collectivism won its war. It absolutely won. So now we’re the spies. We’re the spies for freedom, and they’re profiling us and trying to marginalize our influence.

Think back to the War of 1776. The Colonists came together, some of them; they banded together to fight the British. They were a group, and ultimately they won. But what did they do after that? They somehow managed to frame founding documents that established freedom of “the individual,” not “the group,” as the primary building block of the new republic.

If we win this fight to preserve freedom in America, will we have the same understanding of what “the individual” means? Or, will we be so brainwashed that we’ll preach and teach freedom for “the group?” If we take the latter path, we’ll fall right back into the trap of collectivism.

Consider the actions and words of the last few presidents. Have any of them made “the individual” the basis of their rhetoric?

The answer, of course, is no. And Obama has been the worst of them in that regard. Obama is, you might say, the natural evolution of the eradication of “the individual.” He’s focused all of his attention on groups.

He bemoans the unemployment rate in “the public sector,” which is the drone-core of the collective. He emphatically demands the individual entrepreneur (“you didn’t build that”), and claims that the infrastructure of the country, built by the public sector (?), is more important than “the individual.”

Under Obama, the collective has become a messianic force. As if, in its vague and undefined way, it will save us all. We’ll become crusaders for that cause.

Yet, for every significant enterprise in human history, “the individual” vision comes first. It is the launching pad. The energy and inspiration of one person is the thing without which nothing happens.

Where is this taught in our schools? Where do we hear this in churches? What corporations explain this? How many parents make this clear to their children?

The idea has been lost. It is so lost that the majority of Americans don’t even understand what it means.

This should be a blood-curdling fact. But it isn’t. The major media certainly doesn’t bother with it. Psychologists don’t study it or comment on it. Who is funding studies on the power and vision of the free individual? What politician is running for office based on that idea? In what district anywhere in America would such a campaign score a victory?

No, “the individual” is passe’. That’s where we are. We’re in the dark.

Even when alternative journalists cover the dying out of freedom, they almost always discuss how “all of us” are being swamped by repressive forces. They don’t cut to the core: freedom is dying out for “the individual.” That’s the stark essence of what is happening in America. But it’s easier to talk about “all of us,” because we have been brainwashed into believing that freedom or slavery is a collective issue.

An overwhelming number of Americans, in what is still the freest nation in the world, can no longer conceive of themselves as free and powerful individuals.

I, for one, think about it every day. It is a North Star that allows a person to navigate his life. It’s a standard and a measuring rod.

In uncountable ways, we are being drawn into the orbit of “the group,” one group or another. We are told, directly or subtly, that everything we do is connected to others, and that connection is the defining impulse that shows us what we are. We are that and nothing else.

Why did George Orwell write “1984” about Winston Smith, one individual? Because he wanted to show the effect of the all-consuming State on its primary target: one person. Is that the way the book is read and taught now? Or are we supposed to believe that Winston Smith, the rebel, was merely a symbol and a metaphor for what was happening to everyone?

It doesn’t matter what is happening to everyone, when the irreducible essence of freedom, one person, is no longer present in consciousness.

When people think about Aldous Huxley’s novel, “Brave New World,” can they recall an individual hero, or has the State, in the book, taken over existence to such a degree that the one person is irrelevant?

Operation Mind Control, or collectivism, has triumphed so fully in our time that most people can’t imagine themselves as distinct, separate, free and powerful individuals; nor do they want to. They feel guilt when they try. They feel they are betraying “the mass;” they feel they are breaking the law. They feel they must retreat back to a position of safety. They feel that, if they step out in front of “the group,” they are losing their innate “religion.”

Through devious means, the media twists individuals into “lone individuals,” a phrase we’re all too familiar with. These are the mysterious psychopaths who commit vicious crimes. The implication is: if they only had been part of some community, they might have learned community values and taken a different path; they might have realized they were part of the whole “human family;” they might have been saved.

Because, according to collectivism, to be saved is to recognize that one is a cell inside an interdependent collection of cells. That is the premise. That’s the trendy thing to believe.

If you want cells inside cells, study almost any ancient society. There you will find variations of human ant colonies. There you will find individual sublimation to “the group” spelled out in blood.

What do you think globalization and the New World Order are all about? They are the apotheosis of “the group,” disguised as humanitarian service to “the good.” This is a cold, calculated propaganda operation. It works because people, when they become aware of suffering, want to reach out and end it. That impulse is preyed upon by the globalist vultures, twisted, redirected and harvested.

On a personal level, individuals become aware they can discover and invent visions of grand achievements and futures; then they hesitate; they balk, they feel alone; they don’t have the staying power to rebel against “the mass.” They find a group into which they can retreat. They remain there. They hide from themselves there. They hope their self-induced amnesia will last. They invent reasons and stories and myths to explain their retreat. They seek confirmation they’ve made the right choice. They find other individuals, like themselves, who’ve surrendered. They form bonds.

They collectivize. Now we are told an individual’s highest aspiration or vision must be service to “the group.” The whole matter of “the greatest life” is presumed to be settled. It’s no longer worth re-thinking. This, of course, is propaganda. In many ways, from many angles, it’s...
TALKING SURVEILLANCE CAMERAS THAT BARK ORDERS AT PASSERSBY AND CAN ALSO RECORD CONVERSATIONS ARE NOW BEING ROLLED OUT IN DETROIT, CHICAGO AND PITTSBURGH AND ARE HEADING FOR MORE U.S. CITIES. AMERLUX ANNOUNCED INTELLISTREETS OPERATING SYSTEM, SMARTSITE, IS INTENDED NOT ONLY FOR STREET LIGHTING BUT ALSO FOR RETAIL MALLS, SPORTS VENUES, ON COLLEGE CAMPUSES, AND IN NEW CONSTRUCTION, AND MIGHT WELL BECOME COMMONPLACE.

taught and implied in our schools. Children learn to parrot the appropriate phrases. They utter them proudly.

Three minutes after the U.S. dropped an atomic bomb on Hiroshima, there was an all-out psyp to convince humanity that the world was no longer separated in any way. No, now we had to strive together, to avoid extinction. And that was true, up to a point. But this striving—what would it be for? Toward what end?

How would the overriding slogan, “We’re all in this together,” actually be applied?

Look at how “one world striving together” has been used by globalists in the last 65 years. We have, for example, the GATT Treaty, which gave birth to the World Trade Organization. And we have lesser treaties, like NAFTA and CAFTA, which were designed along the same lines.

These treaties have led to the enormous outsourcing of jobs and the flight of corporate factories. As Sir James Goldsmith pointed out, this is a completely criminal and insane policy. It means that the industrial countries have had to compete on impossible terms with countries where workers will produce goods for next to nothing.

It is economic suicide—planned economic suicide, aimed at bringing down the industrial nations. It’s succeeding. This is the real and brutal face of the slogan, “We’re all in this together on planet Earth.”

From the World Trade Organization has come the pernicious standard called “harmonization.” It means that food policy, medical policy, health policy, trade policy—and eventually military policy, limited free-speech policy and judicial policy—are all arranged on an international basis. No more sovereign choices and no more sovereign nations. This is the real and brutal face of the collectivist slogan, “We’re all in this together on planet Earth.”

The Cold War itself, in which the United States and the Soviet Union faced off for decades, and its gigantic cost in human lives and money—all of it was a covert operation to divert drain resources away from truly productive societies.

As Anthony Sutton demonstrated so ably in his work on technology transfers from the U.S. to the USSR, criminal covert ops were undertaken to keep the Soviets on the semblance of an equal footing with America. Why?

To sustain the Cold War, and therefore to grab tax dollars from hardworking citizens; to increase the gap between the rich and the poor; to destabilize nations and bring them closer to being military camps; to keep people on edge fearing an imminent nuclear holocaust; to establish the “need” for universal surveillance; to demonstrate in terms everyone could understand that, in order for the planet to survive, “we had to be in this all together.” As collectivists.

Then we had a softer version of the same platitude, the 1960s, the Age of Peace and Love. “We’re all in this together.” “Everything belongs to everybody.” And in the wake of that delirious decade, the propaganda of interdependence in nature, in society, and in the universe; everything is connected to everything: collectivist nature, collectivist economics and collectivist physics. “It takes a village.” No one can stand alone. “The individual” is dead; “the group” is all.

Then, the onslaught of messianic propaganda about food and agriculture: we have to feed everybody. What form has that taken? The one-word answer is Monsanto. Life will finally work for 7 billion people because all crops will be genetically engineered. This will enable far greater crop yields (an outright lie). The small, i.e. individual and free, farmer will be phased out. Destroyed.

But truth, the nutritional content of food will be grossly lowered. New diseases spring up. This is the real and brutal face of the collectivist slogan, “We’re all in this together on planet Earth.”

In the medical arena, we have the institution of national health care plans in more nations, finally including the U.S. Everyone will be covered. We’re all in this together. Except that the true objective of the plan was to install mainstream allopathic medicine as the only permitted system. Meaning: more toxic drugs, destroying more lives. There are 225,000 annual deaths in the U.S. alone from the medical system. We’re seeing ops designed to marginalize and phase out natural medicine. This is the real and brutal face of the collectivist slogan, “We’re all in this together on planet Earth.”

How about economics and finances? With conscious collectivist agents inside every government in the world, we got massive government spending—to the point where the debt was insupportable, where any economic calamity, engineered or accidental, could topple the whole works. As it has. This is collectivism in action, for the objective of creating one “better” global management system for Earth—The New World Order.

We could go on, but the point is clear. Since 1945 and the dropping of the atomic bomb on Japan, the ideal and the promoted necessity of one world, of “we’re all together in this together,” has been used over and over again as a form of mind control, to permit the massive destruction of civilization.

The fatally flawed “science” of manmade global warming has emphasized yet another form of “we must all strive together to avoid a holocaust.” In this case, it’s carbon taxes, cap and trade, the dismantling of industrial economies, the increase of poverty and the reduction of available energy.

And at the heart of all of this mind control is the premise that the free and powerful individual, seeking his highest vision, seeking his greatest achievements, is the enemy, and only “the collective” can save and deliver us from evil.

Some people, reading this, will think I’m against any group action, that I don’t believe group action has ever been effective. They miss my point entirely. I’m not talking about real group action. I’m talking about engineered group action devised to destroy life, under the guise of saving it.

And most of all, I’m talking about the individual human being surrendering to the idea that he is unimportant, that he only counts in reference to other people, that he has no real power, no real imagination, no great vision, no great status. Status ultimately is reserved for “the collective.”

In my life, I’ve known hundreds of people, and I’ve seen thousands more, who’ve launched, built and created enterprises of one kind or another—and then they turned around to preach the primacy of “the group.” Instead of standing as an example of what one person can do,
a truthful example, they betrayed all of that and became advocates for the collective. It’s a sick joke. They came out of nowhere and used their power, energy and imagination to create something successful, and then they abandoned the premise of “the individual.”

Some of these people have been co-opted, but many just failed to understand their own psychology. And many refused to allow other people to be individuals.

“Well, yes, I built that, but I know you can’t. So I’m here to help you, to put you into the mass, the group, the collective.”

Could they be more patronizing?

“Yes, I’m a big person, but you’re a little person. Don’t worry. I’ll show you the way. We’re all in this together.”

Really?

“Yes, I guess I came up from nothing and made my way and worked myself to the bone to succeed, and I had a great vision, and I pursued it, and I used my power, and I expanded that power…but I know you can’t do that, and I’m sorry. And I know you can’t rebel against your evil dictator to establish real freedom, because you can’t understand what freedom actually means. You’re limited in that way. And by ‘you,’ I mean thousands of you, no, millions of you, no, billions of you. That’s why I’m saving Africa and Latin America, and parts of Asia on the weekends. Are you with me? Remember, we’re all in this together…”

And standing nearby, the real movers and shakers in the globalist club are laughing so hard they’re falling down. They’re cheering and laughing at this shell game until they can’t talk. They’re beside themselves. They live for this kind of shit.

They live for the erasure of “the individual,” and they have lots of friends.

The author of an explosive collection, “The Matrix Revealed, Jon was a candidate for a U.S. Congressional seat in the 29th District of California. Nominated for a Pulitzer Prize, he has worked as an investigative reporter for 30 years. Jon has delivered lectures and seminars on global politics, health, logic, and creative power to audiences around the world. His website can be found at www.nomorefakenews.com
My father was a Master Chief in the Navy. He was also a Pearl Harbor survivor, and so early on I became interested in history. We moved a lot when I was a kid, but somehow we ended up in West Texas after my dad retired. I went to high school there and graduated with a BA degree in art at Angelo State University in 1979. I minored in history.

I wanted to be a fine artist, but after graduation I got a job as a graphic artist at the local paper instead, The San Angelo Standard-Times. I was asked to draw some cartoons in support of the hostages in Iran. Paid positions as a full-time editorial cartoonist were and remain extremely rare, so I became an info-graphic specialist instead. I struck out on my own in 1997. I leveraged my info-graphic skills and now I do complex learning ‘maps’ for companies all over the world.

My business slowed down because of the economy. I had time on my hands, so I returned to painting. I also started to listen to Alex Jones’ podcasts. As I listened to Alex, I was impressed by the vivid, memorable metaphors he used to hammer home his points. All sorts of good cartoon ideas began popping into my head. So I started to draw those ideas. I submitted a few ‘End the Fed’ cartoons to some newspapers here. I was either ignored or treated like a nut.

In 2009 my wife and I decided to move to Montana. Still, I continued to fume at what was happening to my country. I could see a police state being put into place. I’m 55 and that’s plenty old enough to remember a time when my country was much more free.

As I drew more cartoons I decided it wasn’t appropriate for me to post them on my business website. I decided to start ‘grrrgraphics.com’ as a place to put my cartoons and write an occasional blog. When people ask me if I’m afraid the government will ‘come after me’ for my views, it only confirms my belief that Americans have been conditioned to fearfully acquiesce and give up their freedoms without a fight.

Americans have been hoodwinked in slow motion and it’s time to wake up. This is why the Internet is of utmost importance. I have not drawn all that many, but my cartoons have a lot more staying power and reach a bigger audience than if they had appeared in newspapers. Newspapers are dying because they became watered-down. Just compare the cartoon strips today with what they did 100 years ago. Today, cartoonists need stop drawing those old donkey vs. elephant clichés and start attacking corruption in both parties. Most importantly, go to the source--the people controlling both parties.
I'm not drawing them for money. I want to do my patriotic duty and express my freedom of speech LOUDLY while I can. All Americans should. For those thinking of starting a blog or speaking out like me, I will warn you: Be prepared to get smeared. When you get attacked for being a 'kook,' 'extremist,' 'racist' or whatever, it will also tell you your message is hitting its mark.

To learn more about Ben's professional graphics company, Garrison Graphics, LLC. visit www.bengarrison.com or his political cartoon blog GrrrGraphics visit www.grrrgraphics.com. His paintings are also on display and for sale at ArtFusion Contemporary Art Gallery.
( Are you prepared? )
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THE WORLD IS BRACING FOR HYPERINFLATION

Buy from Midas Resources today!

“Quantitative easing” — a fancy term for the Federal Reserve buying securities from preselected financial institutions, such as their investments in federal debt or mortgages — is fundamentally a regressive redistribution program that has been boosting wealth for those already engaged in the financial sector or those who already own homes, but passing little along to the rest of the economy. It is a primary driver of income inequality formed by cronious capitalism. And it is hurting prospects for economic growth down the road by promoting malinvestments in the economy.”

John Williams of Shadowstats.com

Bank of America Merrill Lynch’s Francisco Blanch predicted a gold price of $2,400 an ounce by the end of 2014. Source: www.nasdaq.com

We Have Reached a Major Turning Point in the Financial History of the United States.

It would be hard to overstate how much damage QE3 could potentially do to our financial system. If the rest of the world decides at some point that they no longer have confidence in our dollars and our debt then we are finished.

Gold has been a hedge against inflation for six thousand years. Midas Resources is the trusted name with incredible deals. Allows 1% above cost and a wide range of other coins, bullion and numismatic.

PROTECT YOURSELF!
Buy Gold Today!

“I’ve been buying silver since it was $5 an oz. and gold since it was $260 and I’ve never sold any of it. They’re devaluing the dollar and every other major currency. In my book, smart money is on precious metals and Midas Resources is the place to go.” - Alex Jones

www.midasresources.com